Work Environment and Employee's Commitment in Three Selected Institutions in Rivers State

Nwachukwu Precious Ikechukwu¹, Joy I.N. Ezeh², Oshogbunu Esther Ogochukwu³, Ogulu Nkechinyere⁴ and Naagbo Dumle⁵ Lecturer, Department of Petroleum Marketing and Business Studies, Federal Polytechnic of Oil and Gas Bonny, Rivers State, NIGERIA

²Team Leader, Fidelity Pension Managers Limited, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, NIGERIA
 ³Manager, Fitness Department, Charlies Total Fitness Centre, Rivers State NIGERIA
 ⁴Accountant, Marine Department, Ross International Nigeria Limited, Rivers State, NIGERIA
 ⁵Student, Management Department, Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Port Harcourt Rivers State, NIGERIA

¹Corresponding Author: nwachukwupi27@gmail.Com

ASTRACT

The aim of this study is to investigate work environment and employee's commitment in three selected Institutions such as Rivers State University, University of Port Harcourt and Ignatius Ajuru University of Education in Port Harcourt, Rivers State. For the purpose of this study, descriptive research design was used. Simple random sampling technique was respectively used to select the respondents for the study. Respondents for this study were fifty (60) made up of twenty-five (35) senior staff and twentyfive (25) junior staff. Structured questionnaires were used for data collection. The study findings indicate that, organization working environment had an impact on members as far as respondents are concerned. The study also revealed that employees' will improve their performance if the problems identified during the research are tackled by the management. The problems are flexibility of working environment, work noise distraction, supervisor's interpersonal relationship with subordinates, presence of job aid, the use of performance feedback and improve of work incentives in the organization so that to motivate employees to perform their job. In conclusion based on the findings the study recommended that, the organization needs to have periodic meetings with employees to air their grievances to management and serve as a motivating factor to the employees. Management should find ways and means of communicating their goals and strategies to their employees in order to achieve what the organization is in business for, its mission and vision.

Keywords-- Work Environment, Employee Committee, Institutions, Motivation, High Productivity, Work Climate, Reduction Error and Physical Environment

I. INTRODUCTION

Human beings are very complex in their psychological make-up and hence, managers cannot influence employees' inner state directly, they can however create work environment that encourages quality performance. According to Onwuka 2002), many managers and supervisors labour under the mistaken impression that

the level of employees' performance on the job is proportional to the size of the employees pay pocket. In fact, salary increases and bonuses or performance in many instances, have a very limited term. The extra money soon comes to be regarded not as an incentive but as an entitlement. There are other factors such as conducive working environment free from danger, communication low, manageable work load when combined with other actors provide a more powerful determinant of employees commitment. However, when these factors are missing, the employee come to work only for a check and is present at work in body only leaving their mind outside the gate. It is the quality of the employees work environment that must impact on their level of commitment and consequently on performance.

Statement of the Problem

Companies play an essential role in terms of providing information services to patrons within and outside the company. In order for company staff to give their best, remain competent and effective in fulfilling their duties, it is the responsibility of management of the companies to ensure that there is in existence a good working environment. The absence of this will lead to lack of commitment on the part of employees and this can bring about high level of service deficiency in the company. However, ensuring employee commitment may depend significantly on good work environment. From literature, it was discovered that staff get dissatisfaction with their work environment in the developing countries for some obvious reasons. This dissatisfaction may lead to lack of commitment in their work environment.

Purpose of the Study

The main purpose of this study is to investigate work environment and employee's commitment in selected Institutions in Port Harcourt City of Rivers State.

To achieve this purpose, the following objectives have been identified:

1. To determine the work environment features in existing companies in Port Harcourt

- **2.** To determine the level of organizational commitment among staffs in several companies in Port Harcourt
- **3.** To find out the constraints to organizational commitment among staffs in several companies in Port Harcourt
- **4.** To determine the relationship between work environment and organizational commitment among staffs in several companies.

Research Question

- **1.** What are the work environments features existing in companies in Port Harcourt?
- **2.** What is the level of organizational commitment in staff of companies in Port Harcourt?
- **3.** What are the constraints to organizational commitment of staff in companies in Port Harcourt?
- **4.** What are the relationship between work environment and organizational commitment among staffs in several companies?

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The Work Environment

Many scholars have attempted conceptualizing the working environment. Perhaps it may be defined in its simplest form as the settings, situations, conditions and circumstances under which people work. It is further elaborated by Briner, (2000) as a very broad category that encompasses the physical setting (e.g. heat, equipments etc.), characteristics of the job itself (e.g. workload, task complexity), broader organizational features (e.g. culture, history) and even aspects of the extra organizational setting (e.g. local labour market conditions, industry sector, work-home relationships).

Components of Workplace Environments

Work environments have many properties, components or factors that may affect both physical and psychological well-being of workers (Briner, 2000). How well employees engage with factors in their working environments influences to a great extent their error rate, level of innovation and collaboration with other employees, absenteeism and ultimately, how long they stay in the job (Chandrasekar, 2011) which is a function of their commitment towards work. Chandrasekar identified twelve factors in workplace environment which either lead to engagement or disengagement of workers.

Job Commitment

Dixit, and Bhati, (2012) revealed that the concept of organizational commitment was derived from Whyte's

article in 1956, The Organization Man, which states that commitment comes into being when a person links extraneous interests with a consistent line of activity by making a side bet. In this review, organisational commitment is used synonymously with job commitment both of which entails more psychological concepts than environmental factors of workplace.

Pay and Job Commitment

Since the concept of job commitment has attracted increasing attention on the intensity and stability of the individual's dedication to the job as a result of remunerations, it becomes necessary to investigate how job commitment in the workplace links with feelings of equity especially pay equity. Few empirical studies have been done to investigate the extent to which pay can influence commitment (Omolayo & Owolabi, 2007; Dhawan & Mulla 2010; Chaudhry, Sabir, Rafi & Kalyar 2011; Anvari, Amin, Ahmad, Seliman & Garmsari, 2011; Yaldez & Rahman, 2013).

Job Commitment

As a component of workplace environment, many attempts have been made to link some areas of company policies with employee's job commitment; none actually was specific as regards company policies mentioned in Kyko's framework. Company policies was conceptualised in Kyko (2005) as winlose policies, centralization of power, creating privileged groups in the organization, closed door policy, poor fringe benefits, too much red tape.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

The study employed descriptive survey research designs approached because it provided overview of the data elicited from the respondents concerning the way and manner which staffs were exposed in work environment and employee's commitment in several companies in Port Harcourt Local Government Area of Rivers State.

3.2 Area of the Study

This research will be carried out in Port Harcourt local government area of Rivers State

3.3 Population of the Study

The population of the study includes 70 companies' staffs' in Port Harcourt Local Government Area of Rivers State. The sample will be comprised of staffs in several departments in the selected Institutions.

Table 3.1: Categories of the Target Population

| Category | RSU | UNIPORT | I.A.O.E | Total |
|--------------------|-----|---------|---------|-------|
| Management | 2 | 3 | 4 | 9 |
| Head of Department | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| Employees | 16 | 15 | 15 | 46 |
| Total | 20 | 20 | 20 | 60 |

3.4 Sample and Sampling Size

This research work will sample staffs in selected companies in Port Harcourt local Government Area of Rivers State. The sample size will be randomly selected staffs in several companies Port Harcourt Local Government Area of Rivers State. A total of 70 staffs will be used in determined the sample size of a given population, the formula below.

This is Mathematically Derived using the Taro Yamen's Formula as

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + N(e)2}$$

Where: n=the sample size N=total population size e=error margin limit

1=constant

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + N(e)2}$$

$$n = \frac{70}{1 + 70(0.05)2}$$

$$n = \frac{70}{1 + 70 \times 0.0025}$$
$$n = \frac{70}{0.175}$$

$$n = 59.99$$

3.5 Research Instrument

The research instrument for this study will be the questionnaire. According to Ojo (2005) questionnaire is a instrument containing some questions and statements (some with suggested alterative answers) for which the questions or confirm the statements. The questionnaire that will e used in the study will be divided in two sections. Section A contained information about the respondents that is their gender, marital status, age, educational qualification, years of working experience etc. Section B contained items on the employee motivation and job performance.

3.6 Validity of the Research Instrument

A number of concepts are involved in a discussion of validity. Different types of validity have been identified. These include, the predictive validity which is the validity of an instrument to predict some future events, the concurrent validity which is usually measured by the calculation of a correction coefficient between the distribution of test scores and some concurrently existing criterion measure, the content validity which is essentially determined by the process through which the items were selected.

3.7 Method of Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics which includes frequencies, mean, standard deviation, Pearson product moment correction and percentages. Data collected was reported using frequency tables.

IV. RESULTS

This chapter deals with the presentation of data gathering from the field, while at the same time analyze and interpreted the results.

4.1 Data Presentation

| Total no. of | No. of Questionnaire | Percentage (%) | No. of Questionnaire | Percentage (%) |
|---------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Questionnaire | Returned | Returned | not Returned | not Returned |
| Distributed | | | | |
| 60 | 50 | 83.35% | 10 | 16.5% |

Source: survey data 2019

4.2 Findings and Data Analysis

4.2.1 Gender of Respondents

The study involved gender distribution of respondents in order to answer the questionnaires provided as shown on the table

Table 4.1: Gender of Respondents

| Respondents | Frequency | | | Cumulative Percent |
|-------------|-----------|------|------|-----------------------|
| Female | 24 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 |

| Male | 26 | 52.0 | 52.0 | 100.0 |
|-------|----|-------|------|-------|
| Total | 50 | 100.0 | | |

Source: Researcher, 2019

Table 4.1 above depicts that 52% and 48 % of respondents of male and female respectively answered the questionnaires distributed

4.2.2 Rank of Respondents

The rank of respondents in this study was used in order to answer the distributed questionnaires.

Table 4.2: Rank of Respondents

| Respondents | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative percent |
|---------------|-----------|---------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Junior staffs | 25 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| Senior staffs | 25 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 50 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Source: Researcher, 2019

From the table4.2, the junior staff of the organization makes up 50% of the employees who responded the distributed questionnaires. The remaining 50% makeup the senior staff.

4.2.3 Age of Respondents

In this study, age of the respondents was considered to be important in finding the impact of working environment on employees' performance at institute of finance management.

Table 4.3: Age of Respondents

| Respondents | Frequency | Percent Valid | | Cumulative |
|--------------|-----------|---------------|---------|------------|
| | | | percent | percent |
| 20-30years | 14 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 |
| 31-40years | 20 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 68.0 |
| 41-50years | 13 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 94.0 |
| 50 and above | 3 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 50 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Source: Researcher, 2019

The 31-40 year group constituted 40% of respondents and was highest number of respondents followed by 20-30 with 28% and then the 40-50 which

made up 26% of the respondents. The lowest number of respondents was within the 50 and above which made6% of employees.

4.2.4 Physical Working Environment

Physical working environment in this study have been considered as one of the factors towards employees'

performance as responded by employees through distributed questionnaires.

Table4.4: Physical Working Environment

| Responses | Frequency | Percent | Valid percent | Cumulative percent |
|-----------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Very bad | 11 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 |
| Bad | 10 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 46.0 |
| Moderate | 13 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 68.0 |
| Good | 8 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 52.0 |
| Very good | 8 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 50 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Source: Researcher, 2019

Majority of the employees from the table 4.4 which constitute 26% described their physical working environment towards performance as moderate to influence them to stay in the office and work comfortable. 22% of the employees said the physical working environments very bad for them stay in the office and work comfortable. 20% of the employees describe the physical working environment towards performance as bad for them stay in the office and work comfortable while 16% of employees said their physical working environment is good and the remaining 16% of employees was very good for them and

work comfortable. Majority of employees agree that there exists a strong relation between physical working environment and motivation for them to perform. This shows that it is the responsibilities of the organization to provide friendly working environment which will influence employees to work comfortable and perform their job.

4.2.5 Workplace Noise Distraction

The table 4.5 explained the presence of how noise distractions at the working place affected employees' performance.

Table 4.5: Workplace Noise Distraction

| Responses | Frequency | Percent | Valid percent | Cumulative percent |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 |
| Disagree | 15 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| Agree | 11 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 52.0 |
| Strongly agree | 19 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 100.0 |
| TOTAL | 50 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Source: Researcher, 2019

From the table 4.5, 38% of employees are strongly agree that presence of noise distractions at the working place affects their performance negatively while 30% of the employees disagree that the noise at their workplace distracts them. 22% of employees agree that the noise

distractions at the working place affect their performance. The remaining 10% of employees strongly disagree that noise at their workplace distracts them. Workplace noise distraction has impact on employees' performance as

www.ijemr.net

resulted to uncomfortable and loosing employees' concentration in performing their task.

4.2.6 Employee Recognition as Individual

Employee recognition as individual was considered to be important factor towards employee performance. The following were the response.

Table 4.6: Employee Recognition as Individual

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Valid percent | Cumulative percent |
|-----------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Always | 8 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |
| Usually | 10 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 36.0 |
| Sometimes | 16 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 68.0 |
| Rarely | 8 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 84.0 |
| Never | 7 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 98.0 |
| Not sure | 1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 50 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Source: Researcher, 2019

As shown from table 4.9 that, 32% of the employees said they are sometimes recognized as individual, followed by 20% who said they are usually recognized as individuals in the organization. 16 % of the employees said they are rarely recognized as individuals and another 16% said they are always recognized as individuals where as 14% said they are never recognized as individuals by the organization. 2% said they not sure if they are recognized as individuals in the organization or not. Being not recognized as individual has negative impact on employees performance because of no feeling exist between them and the organization which occasionally demoralize them as they cannot air their views.

V. CONCLUSION

Working environment plays a vital role in motivating employees to perform their assigned job. Since money is not a sufficient motivator in encouraging the workplace performance required in today's competitive business environment. The ability to attract, keep and motivate high-performance is becoming increasingly competitive important in today's organizational environments. At the end of the research, it was realized that the employee's working environment find themselves in, affect their productivity greatly. Therefore it is the responsibilities of the organization to provide friendly working environment which will influence employees to work comfortable and perform their job.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based the finding the following recommendations was stated:

1. Management should try as much as possible to build a work environment that attracts, retain and

- motivate its employees so that to help them work comfortable and increase organization productivity.
- 2. Employers should have in place a good working condition for their employees in order to boost their morale and made them more efficient. An example is making their benefit programs to suit employees.
- Management should find ways and means of communicating their goals and strategies to their employees in order to achieve what the organization is in business for, its mission and vision

REFERENCES

- [1] Abdulla, J., Djebarni, R., & Mellahi, K. (2010). Determinants of job satisfaction in the UAE. *Personnel Review*, 40(1), 126-146.
- [2] Amusa, O. I., Iyoro, A. O., & Olabisi, A. F. (2013). Work environment and job performance of librarians in the public university in South-West Nigeria. *International Journal of Library and Information Science*, 5(11), 457-461.
- [3] Baher, K. R. & Ziabari, M. S. (2014). The survey of organizational commitment and its relation to individual factors in staff of university libraries in guilan province. Academic Journal of Research in Economic and Management, 2(9), 45-47.
- [4] Chandrasekar, K. (2011) Workplace environment and its impact on organizational performance in public sector organisations, Alagappa University, Karaikudi, India. *International Journal of Enterprise Computing and Business Systems*, 1, 1-20.
- [5] Imam, H., Qureshi, T. M., & Khan, M. A. (2011). The retrenchment effect on job performance with mediating

- effect of work life balance. African Journal of Business Management, 5, 8642-8648.
- [6] Jafri, M. H. (2012). An empirical study of octapace Nculture and organizational commitment. *International Journal of Retailing and Rural Perspectives*, 1(2), 187-194,
- [7] Kohun, S. (1992). *Business environment*. Ibadan: University Press.
- [8] Maqsood, A. (2011). Work environment, burnout, organizational commitment and role of personal variables as moderators. *Dissertation of Psychology, Quad-I-Azam University*, Islamabad.
- [9] Mehboob, F. & Bhutto, N. A. (2012). *Job satisfaction as a predictor of organizational citizenship behavior a study of faculty members at business institutes*. Available at: https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/32f1/48a237fec69da32f967 4b8e2830c4a0e4f61.pdf.
- [10] Meyer, J. P. & Allen, N. J. (1997). *Commitment in the workplace: Theory, research and application*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publication.
- [11] Mir GHafouri S. H. & Makki F. (2007). Evaluating the quality of services of training library with LibQual approach. *Library and Information Science*, *10*(1), 62-79.
- [12] Moos, R. H. (1994). *Work environment scale manual*. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.

- [13] Newstrom, J. & Davis, K. (2002). *Organizational behaviour: "Human behaviour at Work"*. (11th ed.). New Delhi Tata Mcgraw-Hill Publishing Company Limited.
- [14] Owolabi, K. A. & Salaam, M. O. (2010). Job satisfaction and organizational commitment of Academic Librarians in Nigerian Universities. *Library Herald*, 48(2), 162-170.
- [15] Pareek, U. (2004). *Understanding organizational behaviour*. London: Oxford.
- [16] Parveen, S., Sohail, M. M., Naeem, F, Azhar, Z., & Khan, S. H. (2014). Impact of office facilities and workplace milieu on employees performance: a case study of Sargodha university. *Asian Journal of Empirical Research*, 2(4), 96-117.
- [17] Robbins, P. S. & Judge, A, T. (2011). *Organizational behavior*. (14th ed.). New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- [18] Smith, D.G. (2011). Work environment more important to employees. Available at:

http://www.businessknowhow.com

[19] Zafar, S. & Chughtai, A. A. (2006). Antecedents and consequences of organizational commitment among Pakistani university teachers. *Applied H.R.M. Research*, 11(1), 39-64.

APPENDIX A

QUESTIONNAIRE

| Instructions: kindly ($$) the appropriate answers to the questions below |
|---|
| Section A: Personal Data |
| 1. Name of individual |
| 2. Gender |
| a) Male |
| b) Female |
| 3. Age of the respondent |
| a) 20-30 |
| b) 31-40 |
| c) 41-50 |
| d) 50 and Above |
| SECTION B: Impact of Working Environment |
| SECTION B: Impact of working Environment |
| 4. Do you know about good working environment? |
| a) Yes |
| b) No |
| 5. If yes, then what does it mean? |
| a) Motivation of employee |
| b) No Motivation of employee |
| c) No Impacts |
| 6. Does the good working environment bring positive impact of employee |
| performance |
| a) Yes |

| | b) No | | |
|----|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| 7. | If yes, clarity fo | or what aspect | |
| | a) keeps more | employee | |
| | b) Lower turn | over | |
| | c) It gives ach | ieving the target g | oals |
| | d) Any other | | |
| 8. | Which reward | is more important | t for employee to motivate |
| | a) Financial r | eward | |
| | b) Non financ | ial reward | |
| | c) Any other | | |
| | 10 Does Emplo | yeeRecognition as | Individual? |
| | a) Always | | |
| | b) Usually | | |
| | c) Never | | |
| | d) Sometime | | |
| | e) Rarely | | |
| | f) Not sure | | |
| | 11 Is Workplac | eNoise Distraction | n |
| | a) Agree | | |
| | b) Strongly ag | ree | |
| | c) Disagree | | |
| | d) Strongly di | sagree | |

| 12 Is the Physical Working Er | ivironment Conducive? |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| a) Bad | |
| b) Very bad | |
| c) Moderate | |
| d) Good | |
| e) Very Good | |