



## Positive Solutions for Higher-Order Boundary Value Problems

Mangalagowri.R

Lecturer/Mathematics, NPA Centenary Polytechnic College, near Sakthi hills, Kotagiri, The Nilgiris, INDIA

mgowrinpa@gmail.com

### ABSTRACT

This paper is related to positive solutions for higher order boundary value problems.

**Keywords**— Boundary, Value, Function

### I. INTRODUCTION

In this Paper, we generate the existence of positive solutions for boundary value problems

#### 1.1 LEMMA

In this section, we present the following assumptions and lemma that are used for proving our theorems.

Let Banachspace  $X = C^{n-2}[0,1]$ ,

cone  $K = \{y \in X : y(t) \geq 0\}$ .

Let  $\|\cdot\|$  denote the supremum norm on  $X$ , and for a constant  $c$ ,

let

$$K_c = \{y \in K : \|y\| < c\},$$

$$\partial K_c = \{y \in K : \|y\| = c\}.$$

Let

$$N = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \int_0^1 G(t,s)h(s)ds.$$

We use also the following assumptions:

$$(A1) \quad \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \geq 0 \text{ and } \rho = \alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_1\beta_2 + \alpha_2\beta_1 > 0;$$

$$(A2) \quad f \in C([0,1] \times [0, +\infty), R);$$

$$(A3) \quad h(t) \in C((0,1), R^+), \quad 0 < \int_0^1 G(t,s)h(s)ds < +\infty,$$

where  $R^+ = [0, +\infty)$ ,  $G(t, s)$  is the Green's function of the problem  $-x^{(n)} = 0$  with the boundary conditions (1.2) - (1.4).

It is clear that

$$g(t, s) = \frac{\partial^{n-2} G(t, s)}{\partial t^{n-2}}, \quad \rightarrow (1.1)$$

is the Green's function of the boundary value problems

$$-x'' = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad \rightarrow (1.2)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \alpha_1 x(0) - \beta_1 x'(0) &= 0, \\ \alpha_2 x(1) + \beta_2 x'(1) &= 0, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \rightarrow (1.3)$$

given by,

$$g(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\rho} (\alpha_1 t + \beta_1) [\alpha_2 (1-s) + \beta_2], & t \leq s; \\ \frac{1}{\rho} (\alpha_1 s + \beta_1) [\alpha_2 (1-t) + \beta_2], & s \leq t. \end{cases} \quad \rightarrow (1.4)$$

**LEMMA: A**

Suppose  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is completely continuous. Define the operator  $\theta : TX \rightarrow K$  by

$$(\theta y)(t) = \max \{y(t), w(t)\}, \text{ for } y \in TX,$$

where  $w(t) \in C^{n-1}[0, 1]$ ,  $w(t) \geq 0$  is a given function. Then

$$\theta \circ T : X \rightarrow K$$

is also a completely continuous operator.

## II. BVP

We suppose that (A1), (A2), (A3) hold. The following Theorems (2.1) and (2.2) give sufficient conditions which guarantee the existence of positive solutions for BVP (1.1) - (1.4).

**THEOREM: 1.2.1**

Assume there exist constants  $r > M > 0$ , such that

$$0 < \frac{M}{\min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} f(t, Mw(t))} = a < b = \frac{r}{N \max_{\substack{0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ Mw(t) \leq x \leq r}} f(t, x)}. \quad \rightarrow (1.1)$$

Then BVP (1.1) – (1.4) has atleast one positive solution

$y(t)$  satisfying

$$0 < Mw(t) \leq y(t), \quad 0 < t < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|y\| < r, \quad \rightarrow (1.2)$$

provided that  $\lambda \in [a, b)$ .

**PROOF:**

We define the auxiliary function  $F(t, x)$  as

$$F(t, x) = \begin{cases} f(t, x), & x \geq Mw(t), \\ f(t, Mw(t)), & x < Mw(t). \end{cases} \quad \rightarrow (1.3)$$

Let the operator  $T: K \rightarrow K$  be defined by

$$(Tx)(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 G(t, s) h(s) F(t, x(s)) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \quad \rightarrow (1.4)$$

Then  $T$  is on  $K$  a completely continuous operator.

Let the operator  $\theta: X \rightarrow K$  be defined by

$$(\theta y)(t) = \max\{y(t), 0\}. \quad \rightarrow (1.5)$$

From lemma (A),

$\theta \circ T: K \rightarrow K$  is also completely continuous.

For  $x \in \partial K_r$ , set

$$J = \{t \in [0, 1]: F(t, x(t)) \geq 0\}.$$

Then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta \circ T)x(t) &= \max\{(Tx)(t), 0\} \\ &= \max\left\{\lambda \int_0^1 G(t, s) h(s) F(t, x(s)) ds, 0\right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \lambda \int_0^1 G(t,s)h(s)F(t,x(s))ds \\
&\leq \lambda \int_J G(t,s)h(s)F(t,x(s))ds \\
&< b \max_{\substack{0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ 0 \leq x \leq r}} F(t,x) \int_J G(t,s)h(s)ds \\
&\leq Nb \max_{\substack{0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ Mw(t) \leq x \leq r}} f(t,x) \\
&\leq r.
\end{aligned}$$

Then for every  $x \in \partial K_r$ ,

$$(\theta \circ T)x(t) \neq x,$$

it follows that

$$\deg_K \{I - \theta \circ T, K_r, 0\} = 1,$$

where  $\deg_K$  stands for the degree in cone  $K$ .

Then  $\theta \circ T$  has a fixed point  $y \in K_r$ .

To finish the proof based on the definition of  $F$ , it suffices to show that the fixed point  $y \in K_r$  satisfying

$$(Ty)(t) \geq Mw(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad \rightarrow (1.6)$$

since  $F = f$  in the region.

In order to show (3.2.6) is hold, we first show that

$$(Ty)^{(n-2)}(t) \geq Mw^{(n-2)}(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \quad \rightarrow (1.7)$$

Otherwise, let

$$u(t) = Mw^{(n-2)}(t) - (Ty)^{(n-2)}(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

then there exists  $t_0 \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$u(t_0) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \{u(t)\} = A > 0. \quad \rightarrow (1.8)$$

If  $t_0 = 0$ , then

$$u'(t) = (n-2)Mw^{(n-3)}(t) - (n-2)(Ty)^{(n-3)}(t)$$

$$u'(t_0) = (n-2)Mw^{(n-3)}(t_0) - (n-2)(Ty)^{(n-3)}(t_0)$$

Therefore  $u'(0) \leq 0$ .

Since both  $Mw(t)$  and  $(Ty)(t)$  satisfy the boundary

condition (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_1 u(0) - \beta u'(0) \\ &= \alpha_1 [Mw^{(n-2)}(0) - (Ty)^{(n-2)}(0)] - \beta_1 [Mw^{(n-1)}(0) - (Ty)^{(n-1)}(0)] \\ &= \alpha_1 Mw^{(n-2)}(0) - \alpha_1 (Ty)^{(n-2)}(0) - \beta_1 Mw^{(n-1)}(0) + \beta_1 (Ty)^{(n-1)}(0) \\ &= [\alpha_1 Mw^{(n-2)}(0) - \beta_1 Mw^{(n-1)}(0)] - [\alpha_1 (Ty)^{(n-2)}(0) - \beta_1 (Ty)^{(n-1)}(0)] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\alpha_1 u(0) - \beta u'(0) = 0$ .

If  $\beta_1 = 0$ , from  $\rho > 0$ , then  $\alpha_1 > 0$ , so  $u(0) = 0$ , which contradicts to (1.2.8).

Then

$$\alpha_1 = 0, \beta_1 > 0 \text{ and } u'(0) = 0. \quad \rightarrow (1.9)$$

Now we claim that

$$u(t) > 0, t \in [0, 1]. \quad \rightarrow (1.10)$$

If the assertion is false, then there is  $t_1 \in (0, 1]$  such that  $u(t) > 0, t \in [0, t_1), u(t_1) = 0$ .  $\rightarrow (1.2.11)$

So for every  $t \in (0, t_1]$ , from (3.2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} u'(t) &= u'(0) + \int_0^t u''(s) ds \\ &= \int_0^t [Mw^{(n)}(s) - (Ty)^{(n)}(s)] ds \\ &= -\int_0^t h(s) [M - \lambda F(t, y(s))] ds \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is  $u'(t) \geq 0$ ,  $t \in [0, t_1)$ , and from (1.2.11) we have the following contradiction

$$0 = u(t_1) \geq u(0) = L > 0.$$

Then Equ. (2.10) is hold.

If  $t_0 = 1$ , we can obtain (2.10) in a similar way.

Finally, if  $t_0 \in (0, 1)$  then  $u'(t_0) = 0$ . We are able to show that  $u'(t) \geq 0$  respectively in  $[0, t_0]$  and in  $[t_0, 1]$  in the same way as the above argument. So (3.2.10) holds for all the possible cases.

Since both  $Mw(t)$  and  $(Ty)(t)$  satisfy the boundary condition (1.2)-(1.4), that is,

$$Mw^{(i)}(0) = (Ty)^{(i)}(0) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-3.$$

From (3.2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Mw(t) - (Ty)(t) &= \int_0^t \int_0^{\tau_{n-3}} \dots \int_0^{\tau_1} u(s) ds d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_{n-3} \\ &> 0. \end{aligned} \quad \rightarrow (1.12)$$

Then we have the following contradiction

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< Mw(t_0) - (Ty)(t_0) \\ &= \int_0^1 G(t_0, s) h(s) M ds - \lambda \int_0^1 G(t_0, s) h(s) F(s, y(s)) ds \\ &= \int_0^1 G(t_0, s) h(s) [M - \lambda F(s, y(s))] ds \\ &\leq \left[ M - a \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} f(t, Mw(t)) \right] \int_0^1 G(t_0, s) h(s) ds \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $(\theta \circ T)y = Ty = y$  and  $y$  is a solution of boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.4).

Hence the proof of the theorem.

### **THEOREM: 3**

Assume  $f(t, 0) \geq 0$ ,  $h(t)f(t, 0) \not\equiv 0$  and there exists  $r > 0$ , such that

$$b = \frac{r}{N \min_{\substack{0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ 0 \leq x \leq r}} f(t, x)} > 0. \quad \rightarrow (1.13)$$

Then when  $\lambda < b$  boundary value problem(1.1)- (1.4) has atleast one positive solution  $y(t)$  satisfying  $0 < \|y\| < r$ .

**PROOF:**

$$\text{Let } F(t, x) = \begin{cases} f(t, x), & x \geq 0, \\ f(t, 0) - x, & x < 0. \end{cases} \quad \rightarrow (1.14)$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem (1.2.1), we show  $\theta \circ T$  has a fixed point  $y \in K_r$ , where  $K_r$  and  $T$  defined as in Theorem (1.2.1).

Now we claim that

$$(Ty)^{(n-2)}(t) \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \quad \rightarrow (1.15)$$

Otherwise, then there exists  $t_0 \in [0, 1]$  such that  $(Ty)^{(n-2)}(t_0) = \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \{(Ty)^{(n-2)}(t)\}$   
 $= -B$   
 $< 0$ .

We prove that

$$(Ty)^{(n-2)}(t) < 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \quad \rightarrow (1.16)$$

If  $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ , then

$$(Ty)^{(n-1)}(t_0) = 0.$$

If (3.2.16) does not hold, then there is  $t_1 \in [0, t_0) \cup (t_0, 1]$  satisfying

$$(Ty)^{(n-2)}(t_1) = 0, \quad \text{and} \\ (Ty)^{(n-2)}(t) < 0, \quad t \in (t_1, t_0) \text{ or } t \in (t_0, t_1). \quad \rightarrow (1.17)$$

Without loss of generality we suppose  $t_1 \in [0, t_0)$ . Then from boundary condition (1.2), for every  $t \in (t_1, t_0)$ , we have

$$(Ty)(t) = \int_0^t \int_0^{\tau_{n-3}} \dots \int_0^{\tau_1} (Ty)^{n-2}(s) ds d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_{n-3} \\ < 0. \quad \rightarrow (1.18)$$

From (3.2.18), for every  $t \in (t_1, t_0)$

$$\begin{aligned}(Ty)^{(n-1)}(t) &= (Ty)^{(n-1)}(t_0) - \int_t^{t_0} (Ty)^{(n)}(s) ds \\ &= \lambda \int_t^{t_0} h(s) F(t, y(s)) ds \\ &\geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

Which implies the following contradiction

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= (Ty)^{(n-2)}(t_1) = (Ty)^{(n-2)}(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} (Ty)^{(n-1)}(s) ds \\ &= -B - \int_{t_1}^{t_0} (Ty)^{(n-1)}(s) ds \\ &\leq -B \\ &< 0.\end{aligned}$$

Then (1.2.15) is hold.

So for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}(Ty)(t) &= \int_0^t \int_0^{\tau_{n-3}} \dots \int_0^{\tau_1} (Ty)^{(n-2)}(s) ds d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_{n-3} \\ &\geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

If  $t_0 = 0$  or  $t_0 = 1$ , with use of the boundary conditions we can show the above assertion in a similar way in

Theorem (1.1).

Then

$$y = (\theta \circ T)y = Ty,$$

that is  $y(t)$  is a non negative solution of boundary value problem (1.1) - (1.4) with  $0 \leq \|y\| \leq r$ .

### III. CONCLUSION

Besides  $h(t)f(t, 0) \not\equiv 0$  implies  $y(t) \not\equiv 0$  in  $[0, 1]$ .

Therefore  $0 \leq \|y\| \leq r$ .

Hence the proof of the theorem.



**REFERENCES**

- [1] J. V. Baxley & C. R. Houmand. (2003). Nonlinear higher order boundary value problems with multiple positive solutions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 286, 682-691.
- [2] Zengji Du, Fubao Zhang, & WeigaoGe. (2006). Positive solutions for higher – order boundary value problems with sign changing nonlinear terms, *Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems*, 14, 239-253.
- [3] B. G. Zhang and X. Y. Liu, Existence of multiple symmetric positive solutions of higher order Lidstone problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 284, 672- 689.
- [4] Z. J. Du, W. G. Ge, and X. J. Lin. (2004), Existence of solutions for a class of third- order nonlinear boundary value problems. *J. Math. Anal.*, 294, 104–112.
- [5] R. Y. Ma. (2003). Multiple positive solutions for a semipositone fourth – order boundary value problem. *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 33, 217–227.
- [6] R. P. Agarwal, D.O’ Regan, & P.J.Y. Wong. (1999). “Positive Solutions of Differential, Difference and Integral Equations”, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston.
- [7] D. Guo, V. Lakshmikantham, (1988). *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract cones*. Academic Press, San Diego.