E-ISSN:2250-0758
P-ISSN:2394-6962
Research Article
Bee Farming

International Journal of Engineering and Management Research

2026 Volume 16 Number 1 February

Publisher
Vandana Publications
www.vandanapublications.com

Problems of Bee Farming in Rural Maharashtra: A Case Study of Patgaon Village

Gadekar UB[1*]

DOI:10.31033/IJEMR/16.1.2026.1835

[1*] Umesh Balu Gadekar, Assistant Director/Assistant Professor, Yashwantrao Chavan School of Rural Development, Shivaji University, Kolhapur, Ms, India.

Bee farming (Apiculture) has emerged as a significant allied agricultural activity with strong potential for income generation, rural employment, and ecological sustainability. In rural India, particularly among marginal and small farmers, beekeeping provides a low-investment livelihood option that complements traditional agriculture and enhances crop productivity through pollination. This study examines the problems and prospects of bee farming in Patgaon village, Maharashtra, using primary data collected from 100 beekeepers. The analysis focuses specifically on production challenges, environmental constraints, infrastructural and marketing limitations, institutional support, and future growth opportunities.

The findings reveal that while beekeeping in Patgaon village benefits from strong government and cooperative support, high market demand, and favorable price realization, it faces serious challenges such as climate variability, pesticide exposure, disease control difficulties, lack of veterinary assistance, inadequate packaging and value addition facilities, seasonal income instability, and inconsistent market access. Despite these challenges, beekeepers demonstrate strong willingness to expand operations, adopt improved practices, engage in training, and participate in cooperatives. The study concludes that bee farming in patgaon village has strong growth potential as a sustainable rural enterprise, provided that targeted interventions are implemented to strengthen technical support, infrastructure, market linkages, and institutional mechanisms.

Keywords: Bee Farming, Rural Livelihoods, Problems and Prospects

Corresponding Author

Umesh Balu Gadekar, Assistant Director/Assistant Professor, Yashwantrao Chavan School of Rural Development, Shivaji University, Kolhapur, Ms, India.
Email: umeshgadekar1@gmail.com

How to Cite this Article

Gadekar UB, Problems of Bee Farming in Rural Maharashtra: A Case Study of Patgaon Village. Int J Engg Mgmt Res. 2026;16(1):38-45.
Available From
https://ijemr.vandanapublications.com/index.php/j/article/view/1835

To Browse

Manuscript Received	Review Round 1	Review Round 2	Review Round 3	Accepted
2026-01-01	2026-01-17			2026-02-03

Conflict of Interest	Funding	Ethical Approval	Plagiarism X-checker	Note
None	Nil	Yes	3.71	

1. Introduction

1.1 Context of Bee Farming in Rural India

Agriculture remains the backbone of the Indian rural economy, employing a large proportion of the population. However, Indian agriculture is characterized by small and fragmented landholdings, climate uncertainty, rising input costs, and fluctuating market prices. These structural challenges have resulted in income instability, indebtedness, and vulnerability among rural households, especially marginal and small farmers. Consequently, there has been increasing emphasis on promoting allied agricultural activities that can supplement farm income, enhance livelihood resilience, and contribute to sustainable rural development.

Beekeeping, or apiculture, is one such allied activity that holds immense promise. It requires minimal land, low initial investment, and can be practiced alongside crop cultivation without competing for land or water resources. Beyond honey production, beekeeping plays a crucial ecological role by facilitating pollination, improving crop yields, enhancing biodiversity, and supporting ecosystem balance. Studies have shown that pollination services provided by bees can increase agricultural productivity by 15–30 percent in certain crops, thereby contributing significantly to food security and rural income.

Recognizing these benefits, government agencies, cooperatives, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and development institutions have promoted beekeeping across rural India through training programs, colony distribution, subsidies, equipment support, and market facilitation. Beekeeping has been integrated into various rural development schemes, including those under Khadi and Village Industries Commission (KVIC), National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD), State Departments of Agriculture, and rural livelihood missions.

Despite these efforts, the performance and sustainability of beekeeping enterprises vary significantly across regions. In many rural areas, beekeepers continue to face multiple challenges related to environmental conditions, technical knowledge, infrastructure, market access, financial inclusion, and institutional support.

These challenges often restrict the scale, profitability, and long-term viability of beekeeping as a livelihood activity.

1.2 Rationale of the Study

Patgaon village, located in Maharashtra, represents a typical rural setting where beekeeping has been promoted as a supplementary livelihood activity. The village has a sizeable number of beekeepers who have adopted apiculture through government schemes, cooperative initiatives, and training programs. While beekeeping has contributed to income diversification and livelihood security for many households, the extent to which it has realized its full potential remains uncertain.

Understanding the specific problems faced by beekeepers and the prospects for future growth is essential for designing effective interventions and policies. A systematic analysis of production constraints, environmental challenges, infrastructural gaps, marketing issues, and institutional support mechanisms can provide valuable insights into how beekeeping can be strengthened as a rural enterprise.

From a social work and rural development perspective, such an analysis is particularly important, as it contributes to evidence-based planning, community empowerment, sustainable livelihood promotion, and poverty reduction. This study, therefore, aims to examine the problems and prospects of bee farming in Patgaon village using empirical data and descriptive analysis.

1.3 Scope of the Study

The study focuses on:

- Identifying the major problems faced by beekeepers in Patgaon village.
- Examining infrastructural, technical, environmental, financial, and marketing constraints.
- Assessing institutional support and its effectiveness.
- Analyzing future prospects, opportunities, and growth potential of beekeeping.

The study is limited to Patgaon village and is based on primary data collected from 100 respondents. While the findings provide valuable insights, generalization to other regions should be undertaken with caution.

2. Objectives of the Study

The present study is guided by the following objectives:

1. To identify the major problems faced by beekeepers in Patgaon village.
2. To examine infrastructural, technical, environmental, and marketing constraints affecting beekeeping.
3. To assess the role of government, cooperatives, and training institutions in supporting beekeeping.

3. Research Methodology

3.1 Research Design

The study adopts a descriptive research design, as it seeks to describe and analyze the existing conditions, problems, and prospects of bee farming in Patgaon village.

3.2 Universe and Sample

The universe of the study consists of all beekeepers in Patgaon village. A sample of 100 beekeepers was selected using purposive sampling to ensure representation across different levels of experience, scale of operations, and socio-economic backgrounds.

3.3 Sources of Data

- **Primary Data:** Collected through structured interview schedules administered to beekeepers.
- **Secondary Data:** Collected from books, journals, government reports, cooperative records, and online sources related to apiculture and rural development.

3.4 Tools of Data Collection

A structured interview schedule was used, covering:

- Production practices and challenges
- Environmental and technical constraints
- Infrastructure and marketing systems
- Institutional support and training
- Income trends and future plans

In addition, informal interviews, observations, and discussions were conducted to supplement quantitative findings.

3.5 Methods of Data Analysis

The collected data were analyzed using:

- Frequencies and percentages
- Tabular presentation
- Descriptive interpretation

Only those tables directly related to the problems and prospects of beekeeping are presented in this paper.

4. Analysis and Interpretation

This section presents a detailed analysis of the problems and prospects of bee farming in Patgaon village, using selected tables relevant to the title and objectives of the study.

4.1 Environmental and Production Challenges

Environmental and production-related challenges significantly influence the productivity, sustainability, and profitability of beekeeping enterprises. Climate variability, pesticide exposure, disease prevalence, and colony migration are among the most critical issues reported by beekeepers.

Table 1: Climate-Related Problems

Level of Climate Problem	Frequency	Percentage
High	70	70.0
Medium	28	28.0
Low	2	2.0
Total	100	100.0

A majority of respondents (70%) reported high levels of climate-related problems, while 28% experienced medium levels. Only 2% reported low impact. This indicates that climate variability is the most severe challenge faced by beekeepers in Patgaon village. Erratic rainfall patterns, prolonged dry spells, unseasonal rains, and temperature fluctuations affect the flowering of nectar plants, foraging behavior of bees, and overall colony health. Reduced nectar availability leads to lower honey yields, colony stress, and increased vulnerability to diseases. Climate change also affects the timing of honey flows, making traditional seasonal planning less reliable. These findings highlight the urgent need for climate-resilient beekeeping practices, including diversified forage plantations, supplementary feeding, and improved colony management strategies.

Table 2: Pesticide-Related Problems

Level of Problem	Frequency	Percentage
Severe	35	35.0
Medium	43	43.0
Low	5	5.0
None	17	17.0
Total	100	100.0

A significant proportion of respondents (78%) reported medium to severe pesticide-related problems. The widespread use of chemical pesticides in nearby agricultural fields poses a serious threat to bee populations. Bees exposed to pesticides suffer from reduced foraging ability, disorientation, weakened immunity, and increased mortality. This not only affects honey production but also undermines pollination services, thereby impacting overall agricultural productivity. The findings underscore the need for promoting integrated pest management (IPM), organic farming practices, farmer awareness programs, and coordination between crop farmers and beekeepers to minimize pesticide exposure.

Table 3: Difficulty in Disease Control

Level of Difficulty	Frequency	Percentage
High	39	39.0
Medium	48	48.0
Low	11	11.0
None	2	2.0
Total	100	100.0

A large majority of respondents (87%) reported medium to high difficulty in controlling bee diseases. Common diseases such as Varroa mite infestation, fungal infections, bacterial diseases, and viral infections adversely affect colony health, productivity, and survival. The difficulty in disease control is exacerbated by limited access to veterinary assistance, lack of diagnostic facilities, and inadequate knowledge of preventive and curative measures. Disease outbreaks often result in colony losses, reduced honey yields, and increased operational costs, thereby affecting the economic viability of beekeeping enterprises.

Table 4: Migration of Bees

Migration Frequency	Frequency	Percentage
Major	57	57.0
Sometimes	26	26.0
Rare	4	4.0
None	13	13.0
Total	100	100.0

Bee migration is a significant issue, with 57% reporting major migration and 26% reporting occasional migration. Colony migration disrupts honey production cycles, reduces colony strength, and affects pollination services. Migration may occur due to environmental stress, lack of forage, disease, predation, or poor colony management. High migration rates indicate unstable beekeeping conditions and highlight the need for improved management practices, environmental conservation, and forage resource development to stabilize colonies.

4.2 Infrastructure and Technical Constraints

Infrastructure and technical support play a crucial role in determining the efficiency, quality, and profitability of beekeeping enterprises. Inadequate storage, packaging, value addition facilities, and veterinary support significantly constrain the growth potential of beekeeping in Patgaon village.

Table 5: Storage Facility

Storage Facility	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	72	72.0
No	28	28.0
Total	100	100.0

While 72% of respondents have access to storage facilities, a substantial proportion (28%) lack such facilities. Storage is essential for maintaining honey quality, preventing spoilage, and allowing beekeepers to time market sales for better prices. Lack of storage forces beekeepers to sell honey immediately after harvest, often at lower prices, reducing income potential. Improving access to storage infrastructure, including cooperative-owned or community-based storage units, can enhance income stability and market flexibility.

Table 6: Packaging Facility

Packaging Facility	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	15	15.0
No	85	85.0
Total	100	100.0

A major infrastructural constraint is the lack of packaging facilities, with 85% of respondents unable to package honey properly. Packaging plays a vital role in product presentation, branding, quality preservation, and access to premium markets. Without proper packaging, beekeepers are forced to sell in bulk or through intermediaries, limiting their bargaining power and profit margins.

The absence of packaging facilities also restricts the production of value-added products such as flavored honey, comb honey, beeswax products, and cosmetic formulations.

Table 7: Knowledge of Value Addition

Level of Knowledge	Frequency	Percentage
High	8	8.0
Medium	40	40.0
Low	36	36.0
None	16	16.0
Total	100	100.0

Most respondents possess limited knowledge of value addition, with only 8% having high knowledge and 52% having low or no knowledge. Value addition is critical for enhancing income, reducing dependency on raw honey sales, and accessing diversified markets. Limited knowledge restricts beekeepers to traditional production and marketing practices, preventing them from realizing the full economic potential of beekeeping.

Table 8: Constraints in Value Addition

Constraint	Frequency	Percentage
Lack of Equipment	72	72.0
Lack of Buyers	18	18.0
Lack of Knowledge	10	10.0
Total	100	100.0

Lack of equipment is the primary barrier to value addition, reported by 72% of respondents. Even when knowledge exists, the absence of processing units, packaging machines, labeling equipment, and quality testing facilities prevents beekeepers from engaging in value-added production. Lack of buyers and market access further discourages investment in value addition. Establishing common facility centers, cooperative processing units, and market linkages can address these constraints.

Table 9: Access to Veterinary Assistance

Veterinary Assistance	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	12	12.0
No	88	88.0
Total	100	100.0

An overwhelming majority of respondents (88%) lack access to veterinary assistance for bee health management. This severely limits their ability to diagnose and treat diseases, manage pests, and adopt preventive measures. The absence of specialized apiculture veterinary services exacerbates disease-related losses and undermines productivity.

Strengthening veterinary extension services, training local para-veterinarians, and establishing mobile health units for bees can significantly improve colony health and enterprise sustainability.

4.3 Marketing Problems and Market Access

Marketing plays a crucial role in determining the income and sustainability of beekeeping enterprises. Although honey demand is high, beekeepers in Patgaon village face several marketing challenges related to buyer availability, market access, price fluctuations, and dependency on intermediaries.

Table 10: Market Problems

Level of Market Problem	Frequency	Percentage
Severe	7	7.0
Medium	65	65.0
Low	16	16.0
None	12	12.0
Total	100	100.0

Most respondents (65%) face medium-level market problems, while 7% experience severe problems. These challenges include fluctuating prices, delayed payments, lack of reliable buyers, and limited market information. Even though honey prices are generally favorable, inconsistent market access and dependency on a limited number of buyers reduce income stability and bargaining power.

Table 11: Buyer Availability

Buyer Availability	Frequency	Percentage
Always	26	26.0
Sometimes	62	62.0
Rare	12	12.0
Total	100	100.0

Only 26% of respondents report having consistent buyers, while the majority (62%) have buyers only sometimes. This inconsistency in buyer availability contributes to income uncertainty and forces beekeepers to sell at suboptimal prices. Strengthening market linkages, expanding buyer networks, and promoting direct marketing can improve income stability.

Table 12: Honey Selling Price

Price per kg (₹)	Frequency	Percentage
200–300	3	3.0
301–400	1	1.0
More than 400	96	96.0
Total	100	100.0

The vast majority of respondents (96%) sell honey at prices above ₹400 per kilogram, indicating strong market demand and favorable price realization. This is a positive indicator of the economic potential of beekeeping. However, high prices alone do not guarantee income stability if production is affected by environmental and technical constraints or if market access is inconsistent.

4.4 Institutional Support and Training

Institutional support through government schemes, cooperatives, training programs, and financial assistance plays a crucial role in promoting beekeeping and addressing its challenges. The effectiveness of such support significantly influences the sustainability and growth of beekeeping enterprises.

Table 13: Training Received

Training Received	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	81	81.0
No	19	19.0
Total	100	100.0

A large majority of respondents (81%) have received training in beekeeping, indicating strong institutional efforts in capacity building. Training programs have contributed to skill development, awareness of improved practices, and adoption of modern techniques. However, the remaining 19% without training represent a gap that needs to be addressed.

Table 14: Usefulness of Training

Training Usefulness	Frequency	Percentage
Very Useful	31	31.0
Somewhat Useful	60	60.0
Useless	9	9.0
Total	100	100.0

While most respondents find training useful, only 31% rate it as very useful, and 9% find it useless. This suggests that training programs may need improvement in terms of content relevance, practical orientation, follow-up support, and contextual adaptation to local conditions.

Table 15: Role of Cooperatives

Cooperative Role	Frequency	Percentage
High	37	37.0
Medium	54	54.0
Low	8	8.0
None	1	1.0
Total	100	100.0

Most respondents perceive the role of cooperatives as medium to high. Cooperatives provide critical services such as input supply, training facilitation, market access, price stabilization, and collective bargaining. Strengthening cooperative capacity and expanding their functions can further enhance their impact.

5. Discussion

The findings of the study highlight a complex interplay between challenges and opportunities in bee farming in Patgaon village. On the one hand, beekeeping faces severe environmental challenges, including climate variability, pesticide exposure, disease prevalence, and colony migration. These challenges significantly affect productivity, income stability, and enterprise sustainability. Infrastructural constraints, such as lack of packaging facilities, limited value addition infrastructure, inadequate veterinary support, and inconsistent market access, further compound these problems.

On the other hand, the study reveals strong prospects for growth. High demand for honey, favorable price realization, strong willingness to expand operations, high training interest, and strong cooperative participation indicate a robust foundation for enterprise development. The optimistic perception of sustainability among beekeepers suggests confidence in the long-term viability of beekeeping, despite current challenges.

From a rural development and social work perspective, these findings underscore the importance of integrated interventions that address both production and market dimensions. Strengthening extension services, improving access to veterinary care, promoting climate-resilient practices, investing in infrastructure, and enhancing market linkages can significantly improve outcomes. At the same time, empowering beekeepers through training, collective action, and financial inclusion can enhance agency, resilience, and livelihood security.

6. Policy Implications and Recommendations

Based on the findings, the following policy implications and recommendations are proposed:

1. Climate-Resilient Beekeeping: Promote climate-adaptive practices, including diversified forage plantations, supplementary feeding during lean seasons, and climate-smart colony management.

2. Pesticide Regulation and Awareness: Encourage integrated pest management (IPM) and organic farming practices. Conduct awareness programs for farmers and beekeepers to reduce pesticide exposure.

3. Strengthening Veterinary Services: Establish specialized apiculture veterinary services, mobile health units, and local para-veterinarian training programs to improve disease diagnosis and treatment.

4. Infrastructure Development: Invest in storage, packaging, processing, and quality testing facilities, preferably through cooperative or community-based models.

5. Promotion of Value Addition: Provide training, equipment, and financial support for value-added product development, including flavored honey, comb honey, beeswax products, and cosmetics.

6. Market Linkage Enhancement: Strengthen cooperative marketing, promote direct-to-consumer sales, facilitate online platforms, and expand buyer networks to improve market access and price stability.

7. Training and Capacity Building: Improve the quality, relevance, and follow-up of training programs, focusing on advanced techniques, enterprise management, quality standards, and digital tools.

8. Financial Inclusion: Simplify credit procedures, expand access to subsidized loans and insurance, and promote financial literacy to support investment and risk management.

9. Youth and Women Engagement: Design targeted programs to attract youth and women to beekeeping, including entrepreneurship training, startup support, and leadership development.

10. Strengthening Cooperatives: Enhance the capacity, transparency, and accountability of cooperatives to ensure effective service delivery and member satisfaction.

7. Conclusion

The study demonstrates that bee farming in Patgaon village holds significant potential as a sustainable rural livelihood activity. While beekeepers face serious challenges related to climate, pesticides, disease, infrastructure, and markets, strong institutional support, high demand, favorable pricing, and positive future outlook provide a strong foundation for growth.

Addressing the identified problems through integrated policy interventions, institutional strengthening, infrastructure development, and capacity building can unlock the full potential of beekeeping. From a social work and rural development perspective, strengthening beekeeping contributes not only to income generation and employment but also to ecological sustainability, community empowerment, and inclusive development.

With appropriate support, bee farming in Patgaon village can evolve from a supplementary activity into a robust rural enterprise that enhances livelihoods, strengthens resilience, and promotes sustainable development.

References

[1] Al-Ghamdi, A. A., Ansari, M. J., Khan, K. A., Javed, M., & Khan, M. S. (2013). Role of honey bees in pollination and economic value. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences, 20*(2), 89–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2012.10.002

[2] FAO. (2011). *Guide to good hygiene practices for honey production*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

[3] FAO. (2018). *Good beekeeping practices: Practical manual on how to identify and control the main diseases of the honeybee (Apis mellifera)*. FAO Animal Production and Health Guidelines.

[4] Government of India. (2020). *National Beekeeping and Honey Mission (NBHM): Operational guidelines*. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare.

[5] Gupta, R. K., & Reybroeck, W. (2014). Beekeeping for poverty alleviation and livelihood security. *Indian Journal of Entomology, 76*(1), 15–24.

[6] Kalpana, P., & Reddy, M. S. (2015). Constraints in adoption of scientific apiculture practices. *Journal of Extension Education, 27*(4), 5481–5487.

[7] Kumar, R., & Phogat, K. P. S. (2012). Problems and prospects of beekeeping in India. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics, 67*(3), 456–468.

[8] Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers' Welfare. (2021). *Annual report 2020–21*. Government of India.

[9] Singh, A., & Mishra, R. (2017). Apiculture as a sustainable livelihood option in rural India. *Journal of Rural Development, 36*(4), 567–589.

[10] Verma, L. R. (1990). *Beekeeping in integrated mountain development*. Oxford & IBH Publishing.