

Integrating Virtual, Augmented, and Mixed Reality in Syrian Tourism for Heritage Preservation, Visitor Engagement, and Sustainable Development

Abedalrhmman K^{1*}

DOI:10.31033/IJEMR/16.5.2026.1945

^{1*} Kahtan Abedalrhmman, Kanzi Business Consultant, Al-Khobar, Saudi Arabia.

This paper examines how virtual, augmented, and mixed reality can support the digital preservation of Syria's endangered heritage and enrich tourism through immersive, educational experiences. In this way, immersive technologies can translate digital reconstructions of conflict-damaged Syrian sites into tourism experiences that support heritage conservation and create additional economic value. By leveraging augmented reality to digitally restore artifacts in situ, these technologies mitigate the physical degradation of vulnerable archeological sites while simultaneously providing visitors with meaningful, enhanced educational narratives.

The analysis also emphasises a strategic framework for using immersive technologies to digitally reconstruct damaged heritage sites and support sustainable economic growth in Syria (The Application of Virtual Reality (VR), Augmented Reality (AR), and Mixed Reality (MR) Technologies in the Syrian Tourism Sector: A Framework for Cultural Heritage Preservation, Visitor Engagement, and Sustainable Tourism Development, n.d.). By leveraging these immersive tools, stakeholders can facilitate the virtual restoration of sites like Palmyra and Aleppo, thereby providing accessible, globally scalable educational engagement while mitigating physical strain on delicate archaeological assets. Furthermore, the deployment of mixed reality in these settings serves to enhance the mission of heritage valorisation, driving novel visitor behaviours that translate into meaningful post-experience engagement. Accordingly, integrating VR, AR, and MR into Syrian tourism can align visitor experiences with the digital preservation of the country's UNESCO-listed heritage.

However, the successful implementation of these frameworks requires addressing inherent technological challenges, such as device functionality limitations and the complexities of long-term digital maintenance, as well as the critical need for robust infrastructure to support the continuous data requirements of immersive content. Furthermore, fostering local capacity in digital curation and software development is essential to ensure that these XR implementations remain culturally authentic and contextually relevant to the regional heritage narrative. Moreover, bridging these institutional gaps necessitates inter-agency coordination to manage complex heritage data and secure the intellectual property rights integral to long-term sustainability.

Keywords: Virtual Reality, Augmented Reality, Mixed Reality, Syrian Cultural Heritage, Digital Reconstruction, Heritage Preservation, Visitor Engagement, Sustainable Tourism

Corresponding Author

Kahtan Abedalrhmman, Kanzi Business Consultant, Al-Khobar, Saudi Arabia.
Email: Kahtansalm@gmail.com

How to Cite this Article

Abedalrhmman K, Integrating Virtual, Augmented, and Mixed Reality in Syrian Tourism for Heritage Preservation, Visitor Engagement, and Sustainable Development. Int J Engg Mgmt Res. 2026;16(5):16-55.
Available From
<https://ijemr.vandanapublications.com/index.php/j/article/view/1945>

To Browse



Manuscript Received
2026-09-02

Review Round 1
2026-09-19

Review Round 2

Review Round 3

Accepted
2026-10-10

Conflict of Interest
None

Funding
Nil

Ethical Approval
Yes

Plagiarism X-checker
3.88

Note



© 2026 by Abedalrhmman K and Published by Vandana Publications. This is an Open Access article licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/> unported [CC BY 4.0].



1. Syrian Tourism Context

Syria boasts an unparalleled concentration of archaeological, religious, and natural attractions that have long made it a premier cultural destination in the Middle East.

Key sites such as the Ancient Cities of Damascus, Aleppo, and Bosra represent a significant portion of the country's diverse heritage, offering immense potential for XR integration to facilitate both site preservation and educational engagement. However, the catastrophic impact of the recent conflict on urban infrastructure and historical fabric has necessitated a shift toward reconstruction strategies that prioritize community resilience alongside economic revitalization (Noaime & Alnaim, 2025). Complex geopolitical dynamics and a lack of specialized institutional expertise in post-conflict architectural restoration currently constrain the recovery of this cultural landscape. Consequently, cultural heritage must be repositioned not merely as a relic of the past, but as a vital social bond essential for national identity and community recovery during this transition (Halife et al., 2025). To address these challenges, sustainable tourism development requires a collaborative policy framework that balances heritage conservation with inclusive, technology-driven investment (Noaime, 2023; Noaime & Alnaim, 2025). Furthermore, the reliance on outdated regulatory frameworks, such as the 1999 Antiquities Law, creates significant gaps in the governance of contemporary preservation efforts, leaving the sector vulnerable to inconsistent international intervention. Effective implementation of digital preservation strategies must therefore reconcile these regulatory limitations with the nuanced necessity of protecting authentic heritage sites from the pressures of potential tourism commercialization (Ahmed, 2024). Implementing Extended Reality platforms can circumvent physical access barriers, allowing for remote engagement with devastated sites while fostering the necessary collaborative governance to ensure that technological integration remains subservient to, rather than destructive of, authentic cultural narratives. Beyond physical conservation, the integration of digital tools must account for the loss of non-recoverable resources caused by both conflict and environmental threats, which have already significantly reduced the potential for heritage-led sustainable development (Shcherbina & Salmo, 2023).

Addressing these limitations requires overcoming a chronic scarcity of financial and technical resources, which currently hampers the deployment of large-scale 3D modeling and remote sensing initiatives, (Adewojo, 2024). Furthermore, the restorative potential of these technologies extends to the safeguarding of intangible traditions, such as oral histories and local crafts, which remain essential for maintaining the collective memory of displaced communities (Lilia, 2024). By embedding smart governance frameworks that prioritize stakeholder participation, these digital interventions can harmonize sectoral policy initiatives to ensure that tourism recovery is both resilient and inclusive. Such community-centric approaches reflect broader shifts in regional tourism strategies, which increasingly emphasize local participation and cultural preservation as primary pillars for long-term recovery (Alotaibi et al., 2026; Sabrine et al., 2024). Moreover, establishing multidisciplinary forums that bridge the gap between geospatial scientists, heritage experts, and local community stakeholders is vital for creating participatory frameworks that prevent the marginalization of indigenous perspectives (Dayoub et al., 2024). This multifaceted approach mirrors successful regional models that utilize digital twins and augmented reality to foster inclusive heritage dialogues, thereby facilitating more sustainable planning stages for site recovery. Such initiatives effectively leverage digital storytelling and 3D reconstructions to provide alternatives to traditional in-situ tourism, ensuring accessibility for sites currently compromised by ongoing military impacts or damaged infrastructure. By adopting these emerging immersive technologies, Syria can enhance the social and economic dividends of its tourism sector while simultaneously overcoming the traditional barriers to site access and conservation. Furthermore, transitioning from reactive crisis management toward proactive preservation requires the development of comprehensive archaeological inventories to better inform long-term monitoring and site stewardship. Such digital methodologies enable the synthesis of multifaceted data into a unified, coherent environment, supporting both a posteriori collaborative research and the integration of geometric data with critical historical-cultural contexts (Gaspari et al., 2023). Beyond mere data aggregation, empowering local communities to lead documentation efforts remains fundamental, as technical training initiatives—

such as those initiatives—such as those exemplified by Project Anqa—ensure that the stewardship of this heritage is rooted in local expertise. This localized capacity building not only facilitates more precise heritage documentation but also serves as a vehicle for socio-economic empowerment, allowing communities to actively participate in the digital valorization of their cultural assets. By fostering such participatory governance and co-creation, these initiatives transform heritage from a target of passive observation into an active instrument for social legitimacy and reconciliation. This paradigm shift toward localized digital sovereignty underscores the necessity of integrating diverse, informal narratives into official archives to construct a more inclusive and resilient collective memory (Zhang & Ch'ng, 2026). In this context, the adoption of mixed reality as a technological substrate offers an interdisciplinary approach that allows for the creation of digital twins, which can be augmented with the lived narratives of those affected by conflict (Sicklinger et al., 2023). By layering these subjective human experiences over precise architectural reconstructions, stakeholders can foster deeper emotional engagement and promote cross-cultural empathy among potential visitors (Omran et al., 2023; Wen et al., 2025). However, such technological integration must prioritize local agency to avoid the pitfalls of externalized digitisation, ensuring that the regeneration of the tourism sector is driven by Syrian experts and the active participation of displaced residents. The systematic application of 3D surveys—as recently demonstrated at the site of Amrit—further highlights the urgency of acquiring precise structural data in regions where ongoing social instability and systemic infrastructure failures hinder traditional field research. The adoption of these remote documentation methodologies serves as a vital safeguard, bridging the gap between immediate crisis response and long-term heritage management (K, 2025). These initiatives are further reinforced by the development of digital maps of urban centers like Aleppo, which provide essential structural baselines that account for pre-conflict conditions. Such multi-temporal analyses, utilizing satellite imagery to quantify damage, remain essential for reconciling historical significance with the physical realities of current urban fabric. These technical assessments provide a critical foundation for balancing tourism policies with the necessary rehabilitation of historic urban centers,

as exemplified by collaborative efforts like those between UNESCO and UNITAR. Beyond technical documentation, this restorative framework must align with existing regional itineraries, such as the "Silk Road" or the "Phoenicians' Routes," to reintegrate Syria into broader Mediterranean cultural circuits. However, the efficacy of these digital pathways remains contingent upon navigating the precarious balance between physical restoration and the socio-economic exigencies of post-conflict redevelopment, which often risk prioritizing aesthetic reconstruction over the lived experiences of displaced populations. To address these challenges, future recovery strategies must evaluate the complex interplay of cultural, financial, and governmental barriers that currently constrain the nation's reconstruction efforts. Crucially, the tourism landscape in Syria must confront the reality of significant structural decay and the loss of intangible heritage, necessitating a systemic approach that aligns urban planning with the restoration of historic landmarks. The scarcity of baseline documentation for many archaeological sites has frequently left them vulnerable to unchecked development and illicit activity, underscoring the necessity for robust, inventory-based methodologies to guide national recovery priorities. Implementing such frameworks requires the integration of documentation, damage assessment, and rigorous legal regulation, as these pillars remain essential for the stabilization of historic city centers in the post-war environment. Furthermore, shifting from monument-centric preservation to a model that elevates the role of local NGOs and community stakeholders is vital for fostering a sense of ownership and sustainable vitality. Such a transition necessitates moving beyond secluded monument preservation to recognize that heritage sites are deeply embedded within the social, political, and economic fabric of their respective city areas (Zeido, 2023). Addressing the complex interplay of these factors requires navigating the legacy of multi-crisis conditions, where international sanctions and regional instability continue to exacerbate the difficulty of implementing sustainable tourism initiatives (Shafaki et al., 2024). To overcome these systemic constraints, adopting a project-oriented management methodology can transform isolated archaeological and historical sites into integrated tourism destinations that serve as catalysts for broader economic growth.

Such revitalized management frameworks must address persistent obstacles, including limited investment, severely degraded infrastructure, and the need for improved coordination between public and private stakeholders (Wanous, 2025). In particular, the application of a comprehensive management model—integrating quality assurance, digital transformation, and risk mitigation—is essential for addressing the current inefficiencies in governing heritage resources. By establishing clear legislative frameworks and centralizing governance, these strategies can mitigate the risks posed by institutional fragmentation and ensure that reconstruction efforts remain sensitive to the symbolic identity of each site (Jassim et al., 2025). Concurrently, shifting towards a collaborative model that incentivizes local public and private sector participation is imperative for revitalizing regional tourism hubs, particularly in under-leveraged zones like Tartous. This shift is necessary because historically, a disconnect between the Ministry of Culture’s preservation mandates and the Ministry of Tourism’s economic objectives has hampered cohesive development. Bridging this administrative divide requires a transition toward integrated policy frameworks that harmonize heritage safeguarding with the socioeconomic necessities of host communities, as evidenced by successful models of participatory community archaeology. Such an integrated approach acknowledges that cultural heritage acts as a primary engine for local and regional socio-economic regeneration, rather than existing merely as a static display. Consequently, adopting destination governance approaches can help harmonize these competing priorities, fostering flexible policies that adapt to volatile political landscapes while ensuring stakeholders remain engaged in the long-term stewardship of heritage.

2. Immersive Reality Technologies

Virtual reality, augmented reality, and mixed reality offer distinct affordances for cultural heritage engagement, with VR providing fully immersive simulations of inaccessible sites and AR allowing for dynamic digital overlays on physical ruins.

Conversely, mixed reality represents a hybrid capability that facilitates real-time interaction between physical and virtual elements, enabling users to engage with complex, immersive narratives

within the tangible context of the site (Chen & Xu, 2025; Upasani et al., 2023). By establishing a symbiotic platform where the user, the physical environment, and digital assets coexist, mixed reality enables a contextual relationship that balances preservation needs with visitor experience. While VR excels at providing total seclusion for educational simulations, AR and MR foster greater social interaction, allowing visitors to co-experience historical environments through shared digital overlays (Buhalis & Karatay, 2022; Chang et al., 2023). Furthermore, while VR requires specialized HMDs or CAVE systems for high-fidelity simulation (Guo et al., 2024), AR systems utilize portable sensors and camera-based tracking to integrate virtual architectural details directly into the existing physical site. This integration allows for an intuitive, layered engagement where users can visualize historical reconstruction against existing decay, transforming museums into dynamic spaces that emphasize the historical narrative (Innocente et al., 2023; Li et al., 2023). By deploying these technologies, stakeholders can effectively balance the need for non-invasive site interpretation with the increasing demand for interactive, educational tourism experiences (Anwar et al., 2025; Siddiqui et al., 2022). Ultimately, the selection between these modalities depends on the specific site requirements, as AR is particularly suited for on-site restoration and storytelling at vulnerable locations, whereas VR offers a robust alternative for reaching global audiences without placing physical strain on sensitive archaeological sites. Furthermore, these technologies facilitate a user-centered presentation that enhances cultural accessibility, particularly when physical site visits are restricted by environmental or geopolitical constraints. This multi-modal approach effectively bridges the physical-virtual divide, allowing cultural heritage institutions to curate personalized, on-demand experiences that accommodate diverse visitor needs while fostering long-term site preservation. Specifically, the ability of these tools to deliver multisensory and game-oriented "edutainment" approaches allows for the interpretation of fragmented archaeological contexts where tangible evidence has been partially lost (Luca et al., 2023). Integrating these systems allows for the virtual restoration of artifacts and the reconstruction of historical sites, providing a dynamic and intellectually enriching layer to fragmented cultural narratives (Ravichandran & Nam, 2024).

Furthermore, the deployment of collaborative mixed reality systems can support multi-user exploration, enabling visitors to interact with shared digital content as avatars within a unified, interactive space (Chrysanthakopoulou et al., 2025). While virtual reality facilitates complete immersion by blocking the physical world, augmented reality maintains a constant connection to the site, allowing visitors to process digital enhancements directly within their actual surroundings. In contrast, mixed reality creates a cohesive blend that permits concurrent interaction with both digital and physical objects, offering a superior platform for complex, site-specific storytelling. This hybrid approach effectively lowers the barrier to entry for diverse visitor demographics, including those with physical limitations who can benefit from these simulated, interactive environments. By leveraging the distinct strengths of VR, AR, and MR, Syrian cultural heritage managers can strategically deploy these tools to provide remote access to sites currently hindered by instability while enriching the sensory experience of on-site visitors. Such versatility in XR deployment allows institutions to prioritize either complete isolation for focused learning through VR, or the contextual integration of information through AR and MR, depending on the accessibility requirements of specific archaeological locations (Bretos et al., 2023; RAMESHWAR & King, 2023). Moreover, because these immersive platforms are inherently data-driven, they facilitate the ongoing digital archiving of architectural integrity, ensuring that transient or endangered structures remain accessible for future study even if physical conditions deteriorate further. Furthermore, these extended reality platforms allow for the seamless integration of 3D models into the archaeological landscape, providing a rigorous technical framework for both academic documentation and public education (Azizbekyan et al., 2025). By enabling such high-fidelity digital representations, these technologies also serve as essential tools for risk management, allowing experts to simulate and evaluate potential threats to cultural assets before they occur (Guo et al., 2024). This transition toward digitized preservation and interactive engagement reflects a broader evolution in heritage tourism, where the integration of extended reality technologies transforms how tourists interact with both intact and fragmented historical landmarks (Hendrick et al., 2025).

These immersive platforms collectively act as a catalyst for institutional innovation, enabling stakeholders to craft resilient, agile strategies that transcend traditional site-based limitations (Alsharif et al., 2024). By leveraging the unique affordances of these tools—ranging from VR's complete sensory isolation to the contextual overlays of AR and the hybrid interactivity of MR—heritage managers can create customized, high-fidelity experiences that address specific visitor motivations while modernizing the management of sensitive sites (Abass & Zohry, 2022; Bideci & Bideci, 2024). Specifically, AR enables real-time layering of historical reconstructions onto physical ruins, thereby fostering a more personalized and interactive engagement with otherwise inaccessible archaeological narratives. Conversely, Mixed Reality elevates this engagement by facilitating the coexistence of physical and virtual elements, allowing users to manipulate digital assets within their tangible environment (Mavrin et al., 2023). This capacity for fluid interaction between synthetic data and the physical realm promotes a deeper understanding of historical structures, particularly when leveraging HBIM and XR for streamlined data interpretation (Barhoumi & Hajji, 2024). These distinct technological capabilities ensure that cultural institutions can provide scalable, multi-product systems rather than isolated digital artifacts, thereby significantly increasing the long-term attractiveness of heritage sites (Barberis et al., 2026). While AR and VR are increasingly utilized for immersive visitor experiences, mixed reality remains a nascent yet potent frontier that uniquely enables the manipulation of digital objects within a real-world context, potentially revolutionizing how fragmented architectural heritage is interpreted. By facilitating this creative interplay between digital virtuality and physical reality, these technologies offer inclusive access that transcends the limitations of traditional, static heritage presentations (Prodinger & Neuhofer, 2023). Consequently, the strategic implementation of these diversified content formats moves heritage management beyond mere information distribution, fostering profound, active connections between the public and Syria's rich, multi-layered archaeological legacy. Beyond these pedagogical advantages, the capacity to archive such high-resolution 3D reconstructions serves as a vital safeguard for sites facing ongoing environmental or anthropogenic risks. This digital preservation strategy not only mitigates the loss of tangible heritage but also provides a robust but also

provides a robust framework for global researchers to analyze delicate sites without compromising their physical integrity (Paulauskas et al., 2023). Ultimately, this technological synthesis enables the presentation of reconstructed historical versions of transformed or destroyed sites, allowing observers to engage with temporal layers that no longer manifest in the physical environment. Integrating these diverse XR modalities thus establishes a sophisticated pipeline that reconciles the urgent necessity of digital reconstruction with the broader objective of fostering sustainable, contextually aware tourism. By anchoring these digital interventions in interoperable ecosystems that combine structured information models with real-time, touchless interfaces, heritage managers can foster an environment where users move from passive observation to active site curation (Clini et al., 2025). This shift towards interactive curation is further bolstered by the comparative advantages of these systems, where VR provides total immersion for educational journeys, while AR and MR offer distinct, context-aware layers of metadata that enrich the physical site visit (Ashok & Thomas, 2024; Mohamed, 2024). While VR facilitates total immersion for pre-visit preparation or remote exploration of inaccessible sites, AR bridges the gap by overlaying information onto the real-world surroundings (Laachach et al., 2024; Oladokun et al., 2024). In contrast, Mixed Reality advances this synergy by enabling users to interact with and manipulate digital assets directly within their physical environment, creating a hybrid space where virtual reconstructions are spatially anchored to the tangible landscape (Banfi et al., 2025). Such MR implementations are particularly critical for cultural heritage sites in Syria, as they allow researchers and visitors to perform collaborative, hands-on restoration simulations without ever physically disturbing the delicate, remaining structural components. These capabilities ensure that heritage professionals can curate layered narratives that balance empirical accuracy with the multisensory requirements of modern tourism (Xu et al., 2025). Furthermore, the integration of these technologies into a unified framework addresses the scalability and accessibility challenges often associated with high-end heritage visualizations (Vashisht et al., 2024). By optimizing these digital workflows, tourism authorities can provide high-quality, culturally authentic, and educational experiences that enhance the long-term value of

Syria's heritage sites while ensuring sustainable management (Chhabra et al., 2025; Zain et al., 2024). This multifaceted approach allows for a tiered visitor experience, where VR provides deep historical immersion for remote audiences, while AR and MR support on-site exploration through interactive navigation and digital overlays that contextualize archaeological remains. Furthermore, empirical evaluations suggest that while VR systems often generate higher levels of spatial presence for immersive storytelling, the contextual integration provided by AR and MR enhances intrinsic motivation and user engagement during physical site visits (Ariya et al., 2025). Accordingly, the deployment of these tools must be tailored to specific site management goals, ensuring that the chosen modality aligns with the desired cognitive and emotional outcomes for diverse visitor demographics (Pinto & Huertas, 2025). Moreover, because AR is readily accessible via widespread smartphone availability, it serves as a highly scalable entry point for fostering public engagement with heritage sites (Elshahawy et al., 2023). Conversely, the specialized hardware requirements and higher cognitive load associated with MR demand more rigorous site-specific planning to minimize physical distractions and interaction errors (Fanani et al., 2021; Korkut & Sürer, 2024). Ultimately, balancing these modalities allows for a nuanced tourism strategy that leverages VR for remote outreach and AR/MR for enhancing on-site, situated learning. This integrated ecosystem ensures that technological interventions function as sustainable tools for cultural preservation rather than mere spectacles, thereby safeguarding the authenticity of historical assets while revitalizing the Syrian tourism sector (Abdul-Jabbar & Alwehab, 2023; Ma et al., 2024). By leveraging AI-enhanced AR to deliver personalized, real-time context for artifacts, authorities can create more meaningful, individual interactions that bridge the gap between abstract historical data and the physical environment (Yücebaşı & TOY, 2025). Such adaptive platforms ensure that content remains culturally relevant and accessible, transforming static ruins into dynamic narratives that resonate with diverse visitor demographics. Furthermore, the synthesis of these technologies allows for the adoption of a "time machine" metaphor, where the visual and auditory augmentation of physical ruins enables visitors to uncover intangible cultural dimensions that would otherwise remain obscured.

Consequently, the strategic deployment of these immersive tools effectively facilitates a transition from passive observation to active archaeological exploration, as exemplified by digital guides that simulate interactions with historical figures at sites like Palmyra. By situating these digital assets within a shared spatial context, Mixed Reality specifically enables visitors to perceive the affective scale of architectural volumes, effectively bridging the cognitive divide between contemporary ruin sites and their original structural grandeur (Eve & Gillings, 2023). Moreover, empirical research underscores that MR techniques—by facilitating natural movement and physical interaction—sustain higher levels of user agency compared to the more isolated, restricted navigation inherent in traditional VR environments (Bonis et al., 2025). This comparative advantage of MR, particularly through its capacity for reciprocal interaction between virtual elements and physical heritage, allows visitors to engage with 3D models in ways that transcend the static, non-interactive nature of standard AR implementations (Gao, 2025; Wang, 2025). By blending these physical and digital elements into a single, cohesive experience, MR effectively deepens the emotional bond between tourists and the destination, which is crucial for encouraging sustainable revisit intentions. Additionally, the high degree of realism achieved through these MR systems allows users to perceive virtual content as indistinguishable from physical archaeological features, thereby fostering a profound, multisensory sense of presence (Buhalis et al., 2022). This multidimensional immersion mirrors physical environments more closely than conventional VR, significantly enhancing the social interactions and emotional resonance necessary for authentic cultural storytelling (Yin et al., 2023). Consequently, the transition toward mixed reality interfaces represents a paradigm shift in how historical narratives are consumed, as it replaces the solitary experience of virtual reality with a shared, spatially-anchored exploration (Chu et al., 2024). Unlike VR, which isolates the user in a purely synthetic environment, MR maintains the user's perception of the actual physical surroundings, ensuring that the digital overlay remains contextualized within the authentic landscape of the site (Buhalis & Karatay, 2022). Furthermore, while AR remains the most accessible option for broad audience engagement, MR uniquely enables co-located collaboration by allowing multiple users to interact with the same virtual heritage elements while remaining aware of

their shared physical environment. This capability for stereoscopic 3D interaction facilitates a more nuanced multisensory environment through directional audio, thereby elevating the pedagogical depth of site visits beyond the capabilities of conventional AR overlays. However, the adoption of MR remains constrained by hardware limitations such as restricted fields of view and the requisite processing power, which necessitate ongoing technical refinement before widespread implementation. Nonetheless, the integration of these hybrid systems offers a promising pathway for Syrian tourism, as the specialized spatial anchors of MR provide a more robust mechanism for visualizing lost structural volumes than the 2D planar overlays typical of standard AR (Dhillon & Tinmaz, 2024; Lin et al., 2025). Furthermore, whereas VR can induce visual-induced motion sickness that complicates accessibility for stress-sensitive populations, MR provides a stable intermediate solution by fostering high-fidelity co-presence without compromising physical environmental awareness. This synergy of virtual and physical worlds facilitates a sophisticated level of interaction that avoids the disconnect often found in purely immersive simulations (Medrano et al., 2024).

3. Virtual Reality Applications

Virtual reality serves as a potent pedagogical instrument for reconstructing Syrian monuments, allowing users to traverse ancient cityscapes and gain an innate understanding of past civilizations (Chaudhary et al., 2024).

Through photogrammetric workflows, high-fidelity reconstructions—such as the digital restoration of the theatre at Palmyra—effectively preserve at-risk structural data while offering an interactive sanctuary for collective memory (Caponi et al., 2026). These virtual environments facilitate immersive historical storytelling, enabling scholars and the public to explore lost heritage sites in a manner that transcends the physical limitations of current site conditions (Rodríguez-García et al., 2024; Semwal et al., 2024). By utilizing LIDAR-integrated scanning and 3D modeling, these digital replicas can serve as precise, longitudinal archives that safeguard structural integrity against ongoing environmental degradation (Wang et al., 2024), (Antón et al., 2024) environmental degradation (Wang et al., 2024), (Antón et al., 2024).

These reconstructions permit users to engage with temporal layers of Syrian history, providing access to obscured areas and lost architectural details through interactive spatial exploration (Farella et al., 2024). By integrating these photogrammetric models into a metaverse-compatible architecture, institutions can facilitate secure, blockchain-verified access to historical environments that remain physically inaccessible or damaged by conflict (Aziz et al., 2024; Dieb et al., 2024). Furthermore, these virtual environments foster global collaborative research, enabling international teams of experts to analyze and discuss site conservation strategies within a shared, high-fidelity digital workspace (Abukarki, 2025; Varol & Öksüz, 2024). Such virtual reconstructions transcend mere documentation by enabling the simulation of potential restoration scenarios, thereby providing stakeholders with a predictive tool for evaluating the structural and aesthetic impact of future site interventions (Lu et al., 2025). Beyond restorative simulations, these virtual environments serve as crucial repositories for intangible heritage, allowing for the immersive preservation of traditional Syrian crafts and social rituals that are intrinsically linked to specific historical locales (Buragohain et al., 2024). By applying advanced neural radiance fields and audio-synthesis techniques, these platforms can further embed personalized ambient soundscapes into the digital space, offering a profound sensory experience that aids in both cultural restoration and trauma relief for displaced populations (Chen et al., 2025). Moreover, the deployment of dense, multi-image photogrammetric reconstructions derived from public domain imagery offers a cost-effective methodology for scaling these digital experiences to a wider audience (Rihani, 2023). In addition, the implementation of AI-assisted systems can provide real-time, personalized guidance that adapts to the user's focus, significantly enhancing educational outcomes and user experience within these virtual historical environments (Ballı et al., 2025). Ultimately, these digital twins act as a foundational substrate for disaster resilience, enabling the documentation of destroyed monuments by merging geometric precision with the lived narratives of local communities. These interconnected digital assets ultimately facilitate a multi-layered participatory "journey," allowing communities to contribute cultural knowledge and subjective experiences to the ongoing preservation of their heritage (Sicklinger et al., 2023).

By fostering such collaborative frameworks, these initiatives evolve from mere archival efforts into active platforms that strengthen the emotional and identity-based connection between local populations and their ancestral sites (Pérez et al., 2024). This participatory model not only democratizes access to site management but also informs future sustainable tourism strategies by leveraging community-driven data to prioritize local needs during the development of heritage-centered economies (Aljaafreh et al., 2023). This transition toward community-empowered management aligns with the theory of space production, wherein digital platforms synthesize physical, mental, and social dimensions to foster deeper collective engagement (Wen et al., 2025). Such integration of local voices and oral histories into the digital reconstruction process mirrors the social negotiation of lived space, ensuring that heritage preservation remains a reflection of community identity rather than an external imposition (Xie et al., 2026). Furthermore, AR applications supplement this engagement by overlaying historical metadata directly onto current physical remnants, thereby serving as contextual navigational aids that transform site visits into curated, educational narratives (Lin et al., 2025; Omran et al., 2023). By leveraging multi-platform graphics engines, these systems create hybrid scenarios where digital overlays bridge the gap between fragmented ruins and their original architectural complexity (Fascia et al., 2024). Furthermore, the application of Mixed Reality facilitates co-located collaboration by allowing multiple users to simultaneously interact with these virtual reconstructions, thereby enhancing the immersivity and social depth of the site experience. Unlike VR, which creates a wholly simulated environment, mixed reality integrates virtual elements into the physical world, allowing visitors to maintain awareness of their surroundings while visualizing past events (Trunfio et al., 2022). This capability is particularly beneficial for complex heritage landscapes, as it enables visitors to engage with virtual narratives while remaining physically present at the site (Chen & Xu, 2025). By contrast, Augmented Reality focuses on layering non-cognitive visual information directly onto the user's perception of the actual site, functioning as a portable, real-time contextual guide (Buhalis & Karatay, 2022; Upasani et al., 2023).

This complementarity allows for a tiered visitor experience, where AR provides immediate, accessible architectural annotations on-site, while MR interfaces offer more sophisticated, multi-user interaction with historical narratives and artifacts (Li et al., 2023). In this context, while Virtual Reality provides a fully immersive departure from the physical ruins for deep, contemplative study, these MR and AR modalities preserve the vital, sensory connection to the tangible landscape (Innocente et al., 2023). Consequently, developers must prioritize the distinct functional requirements of these immersive tools: IVR systems—such as CAVE environments—are optimal for simulating fully inaccessible sites to achieve maximum fidelity (Guo et al., 2024), whereas AR and MR facilitate temporal layering by blending digital reconstructions with the existing physical monument (Chang et al., 2023; Pratisto et al., 2022). This synergy between physical site presence and digital augmentation allows for a nuanced educational approach that balances historical authenticity with modern accessibility requirements (Basheer et al., 2023). These layered technological interventions enable a holistic visitor journey, transitioning seamlessly from remote, high-fidelity immersive exploration to on-site, contextualized engagement that honors the tangible heritage of the Syrian landscape (Anwar et al., 2025; Singh et al., 2024). Such multimodal frameworks ensure that cultural information remains digitally accessible even when physical site exploration is constrained or threatened by ongoing environmental or social pressures. Furthermore, these immersive reconstruction projects serve as vital repositories for heritage at risk, safeguarding architectural knowledge against the threats of physical decay and conflict-induced destruction. By integrating game engine-driven virtual reconstructions, these digital assets transform abstract historical data into immersive, interactive museum environments, allowing scholars and the public alike to explore sites that would otherwise remain inaccessible due to safety concerns or structural instability. These synthetic representations, synthesized from aerial and terrestrial photogrammetry, allow for the precise documentation and visual restoration of sites that have been significantly compromised or lost (Kersten et al., 2026; Pervolarakis et al., 2023). This high-fidelity reconstruction process creates a comprehensive digital ecosystem that supports remote, synchronous analysis, permitting experts to

co-inhabit virtual spaces to assess damage and coordinate restoration efforts (Chrysanthakopoulou et al., 2025; Pupi et al., 2026). By enabling photo-realistic and high-fidelity visual explorations, these virtual environments effectively substitute for the physical site when the heritage has been damaged or lost, ensuring that the imposing and grandiose nature of the architecture is preserved for future generations. Furthermore, such digital twin architectures provide a foundation for long-term conservation by allowing researchers to monitor structural changes through recurrent temporal data overlays (Abdulrahman & Ismaeel, 2025). Such digital replicas allow for the meticulous reconstruction of multi-layered architectural histories, facilitating the visual restoration of sites that have suffered significant structural degradation (Doğan & Çakıcı, 2025). By utilizing multi-source data fusion and high-precision 3D modeling, these digital frameworks can effectively capture intricate details at a millimeter-level, ensuring that even heavily damaged artifacts are preserved with historical and geometric accuracy (Ke et al., 2025). Beyond mere documentation, these photorealistic environments allow researchers to simulate diverse lighting and environmental conditions to analyze how historical sites appeared in their original context, providing insights that are impossible to capture through physical observation alone. Moreover, the implementation of "during-time Digital Twins" enables researchers to visualize the historical metamorphosis of Syrian monuments over centuries, facilitating a deeper understanding of architectural evolution despite site-specific destruction (Balzani et al., 2023). These virtual platforms effectively bridge the gap between archival research and experiential education, enabling researchers to conduct longitudinal structural assessments while providing the public with interactive tools to explore reconstructed environments (Akyol & Avcı, 2025; SHIMODA et al., 2025). By leveraging these immersive repositories, museum curators can further enhance experiential learning by offering virtual exhibitions that remain accessible regardless of the physical status or geographical limitations of the original site (Spallone et al., 2024). This transition toward digital accessibility is further augmented by the integration of IoT-enabled sensors, which provide real-time environmental data to refine the accuracy of these 3D reconstructions (Zhang et al., 2025).

These sensor-driven digital twins ultimately function as responsive interfaces that allow users to interact with living data models, transforming static heritage replicas into dynamic platforms for ongoing site analysis. By employing these sophisticated digital ecosystems, cultural stakeholders in Syria can facilitate participatory preservation efforts, allowing global communities to engage with and contribute to the restoration narratives of fragile architectural sites (Aziz et al., 2024; Dagnaw et al., 2026). By utilizing spherical photogrammetry and polygon decimation, these virtual environments offer a scalable methodology for the high-fidelity dissemination of lost monuments, ensuring that the historical significance of Syrian sites like the Roman Theatre in Palmyra remains preserved within an accessible, shared Metaverse (Forte et al., 2024).

4. Augmented Reality Applications

Augmented reality serves as a critical interface for on-site interpretation, enabling the digital restoration of ruins and the overlay of historical event visualizations directly onto the physical environment to prevent further degradation.

By superimposing historical reconstructions onto current structural remnants, AR provides a contextual bridge that allows visitors to visualize the spatial integrity of lost architectural features without necessitating physical contact that could threaten delicate site preservation. While virtual reality offers complete synthetic immersion for remote analysis, augmented reality distinguishes itself by anchoring 3D reconstructions within the visitor's actual field of view, facilitating a direct cognitive connection between contemporary ruins and their former architectural states (Wang & Ametefe, 2025). In contrast to VR, which isolates the user, mixed reality can further enhance this experience by allowing digital overlays to interact with physical light and spatial occlusions, creating a seamless, persistent blend of history and reality (Barberis et al., 2026). This technological continuum enables a shift from passive observation to active, in-situ engagement, where MR devices allow users to manipulate reconstructed architectural elements within the original site's geography (Azizbekyan et al., 2025). Consequently, this convergence of physical and digital layers enables visitors to perceive the historical continuity of ancient spaces, transforming static archaeological remains into interactive learning

environments that explicitly revive cultural practices in their original, authentic contexts. By integrating these immersive technologies, Syrian cultural heritage sites can move beyond traditional interpretive signage, offering on-demand, personalized narratives that guide visitors through complex historical layers while preserving the physical integrity of the ruins. This contextual interpretation is further enriched by Mixed Reality, which creates a hybrid environment where virtual artifacts and physical architecture coexist, allowing for complex interactions such as the digital restoration of damaged motifs directly onto the extant masonry (Ravichandran & Nam, 2024). These multisensory platforms foster a profound "edutainment" approach, allowing users to engage with historical contexts through interactive game-oriented environments that compensate for the absence of tangible archaeological evidence (Luca et al., 2023). By facilitating such intuitive and gamified exploration, these technologies not only bridge the cognitive gap for visitors but also enhance the perceived authenticity of the site through controlled digital storytelling. Furthermore, these technologies facilitate a deeper emotional connection to cultural heritage by simulating auditory and, in advanced configurations, multisensory stimuli that revitalize the visitor's sense of presence within a historical narrative (Miranda, 2024; Öztürk, 2023). By facilitating this sense of agency, these technologies transition heritage presentation from static information-distribution platforms to dynamic, interactive experiences that allow for personal discovery. This shift towards interactive discovery is particularly vital for Syrian heritage sites, where immersive systems can serve as inclusive tools for visitors with varying physical capabilities or health constraints. By providing accessible virtual tours to these remote or compromised locations, developers can effectively mitigate the challenges of site inaccessibility for individuals unable to travel. Furthermore, by implementing these XR frameworks, tourism authorities can promote more sustainable visitation patterns that minimize physical impact on sensitive archaeological zones while maximizing the educational reach of heritage sites. Moreover, the strategic deployment of mobile-based AR and MR applications ensures that localized interpretation remains scalable, allowing for the delivery of rich, multifaceted narratives that adapt to the specific scholarly or recreational interests of the

user (Ashok & Thomas, 2024; Hendrick et al., 2025). Such immersive systems also cultivate deeper emotional bonds by integrating soundscapes that contextualize the acoustic environment of antiquity, thereby fostering a heightened sense of presence for the visitor (Privitera et al., 2024). Ultimately, these technological interventions align with the broader mission of cultural institutions to foster inclusive, sustainable engagement, transforming heritage management into an active, globally accessible dialogue. This multifaceted integration of extended reality tools not only addresses the immediate necessity of site preservation but also establishes a sustainable framework for long-term cultural transmission in a post-conflict context (Yu et al., 2025). By leveraging these sophisticated digital interfaces, stakeholders can effectively harmonize the imperative of conservation with the growing demand for meaningful, immersive tourism experiences (Bideci & Bideci, 2024). Integrating these technologies requires a collaborative governance model that prioritizes community involvement and ensures that the digital narratives remain aligned with the genuine cultural values of the Syrian people (Ma et al., 2024). By embedding indigenous narratives and local historical expertise into the design phase of these digital environments, authorities can ensure that technological adoption acts as a vehicle for authentic identity reclamation rather than mere commodification. Furthermore, the transition toward these smart, decentralized digital frameworks supports the broader goal of resilient destination management by utilizing data-driven insights to monitor site usage and mitigate visitor-induced degradation (Alsharif et al., 2024). Moreover, the strategic application of these innovations provides stakeholders with real-time resource management tools that optimize visitor flows, ensuring that the physical sites remain protected while maximizing the educational value of the tourism experience (Jia et al., 2023). Such digital infrastructure ultimately empowers heritage administrators to implement adaptive conservation strategies that adjust based on real-time crowd dynamics and environmental fluctuations. In this comparative framework, Virtual Reality offers a fully immersive, synthetic environment ideal for reconstructing inaccessible or destroyed structures, whereas Augmented Reality overlays digital information onto the physical landscape to bridge the gap between present decay and past grandeur.

Conversely, Mixed Reality elevates this integration by anchoring persistent digital objects to the physical environment, enabling sophisticated, high-fidelity interaction that allows users to manipulate reconstructed architectural elements within the actual site topography. This synthesis of capabilities allows for interactive conversations with historical avatars or the seamless blending of real and virtual architectural planes, thereby deepening the visitor's cognitive immersion. This layering of digital and physical realities enables a nuanced narrative flow, ensuring that even ephemeral historical elements gain a sense of permanence within the visitors' site-specific exploration (Zain et al., 2024). By utilizing AR and MR to provide immediate contextual data, heritage managers can transform physical ruins into dynamic classrooms that respond to the user's focus, thereby deepening their intellectual and emotional understanding of the site. Consequently, this contextual digital interpretation at physical locations empowers tourists to co-create their experience by interacting dynamically with virtual artifacts, which effectively bridges the distance between modern perception and the historical significance of the remains. By facilitating such reciprocal interaction between the user and the virtual representation, Mixed Reality systems effectively transform archaeological sites into responsive environments that accommodate both scholarly inquiry and casual exploration (Wang, 2025). This layered approach to digital storytelling ensures that site interpretation remains both authentic and intellectually stimulating, as AR and MR applications provide essential scaffolding for understanding the cultural significance of artifacts in situ. These localized interventions serve to bypass regulatory constraints regarding physical signage, offering a non-invasive method to enrich the visitor experience without compromising the architectural integrity of delicate heritage sites. By effectively masking the limitations of incomplete physical structures through digital overlays, these tools offer a superior alternative to traditional information boards, which are often restricted by conservation mandates (Han et al., 2021). Such systems utilize high-fidelity overlays to render virtual models at the precise scale and orientation of the original site, allowing visitors to visualize missing architectural components without disturbing the underlying stratigraphy (Eve & Gillings, 2023). These marker-less tracking capabilities allow tourists to retrieve context-based content via GPS and image recognition, facilitating an intuitive exploration of

historical strata without the need for intrusive physical infrastructure. By deploying these digital interpretation layers, authorities can manage site accessibility in sensitive areas of Syria while maintaining a non-physical presence that preserves the material authenticity of archaeological remains (Xu et al., 2025).

5. Mixed Reality Applications

Mixed reality extends these capabilities by facilitating high-fidelity spatial interactions, where digital models of architectural motifs can be manipulated to interact with actual environmental light and physical occlusions.

This creates a persistent, cohesive environment where the observer can observe how original structural elements, such as damaged column capitals or wall carvings, would have been illuminated during different times of day. Such co-creative engagements allow tourists to move beyond the constraints of traditional observation, enabling a deeper, personalized exploration of site history that bridges physical reality and digital virtuality. Moreover, by adopting such mixed-reality frameworks, cultural managers can implement high-quality, authentic edutainment experiences that provide deeper educational value while effectively protecting the physical fabric of fragile heritage sites (Zain et al., 2024). Empirical models demonstrate that such integration of functional and experiential elements significantly enhances visitor satisfaction by redefining traditional heritage interaction. Furthermore, while Virtual Reality offers full immersion in simulated environments, Augmented Reality overlays specific historical data onto existing vistas, making it highly effective for on-site navigation. In contrast, Mixed Reality provides a sophisticated synthesis of these approaches, allowing users to engage with digital reconstructions that maintain spatial awareness within the physical landscape, which has been shown to optimize both visitor presence and intrinsic motivation (Ariya et al., 2025). By layering holographic data atop actual ruins, these systems enable tourists to visualize inaccessible or reconstructed architectural spaces without necessitating permanent, invasive physical interventions at sensitive sites. These blended experiences prove particularly effective for representing intangible cultural heritage, as they allow for the interactive simulation of historical practices that would otherwise remain invisible to the casual observer (boray et al., 2025).

These blended configurations ultimately transform heritage tourism by balancing the necessity of site conservation with the demand for multisensory interaction, ensuring that intangible narratives are transmitted through experiential rather than merely passive observation (Buhalis et al., 2022; Xu et al., 2025). By leveraging these immersive frameworks, stakeholders can foster a significant increase in visitor engagement, as the adoption of mixed reality has been shown to exert a positive and statistically significant impact on the overall quality of the tourism experience (Gonçalves et al., 2026). This integration of digital content acts as a critical mediator, significantly influencing how tourists perceive and interpret complex historical sites (Gonçalves et al., 2025). By harmonizing these digital overlays with the physical environment, heritage managers can effectively address the cognitive and affective dimensions of the visitor experience, leading to more profound emotional responses (Pinto & Huertas, 2025). Such experiential mediation ensures that tourism innovation remains rooted in human-centered ideals, ultimately bridging the gap between historical preservation and the evolving expectations of contemporary travelers (Chhabra et al., 2025). By facilitating this seamless interplay between the tangible landscape and virtual reconstructions, these technologies allow for a reciprocal interaction where digital entities dynamically respond to the visitor's movements within the physical site. This convergence of spatial awareness and narrative depth creates a "Technology-Destination Interaction" that empowers stakeholders to manage sensitive resources while providing highly personalized, educational insights. Furthermore, by enabling the remote exploration of sites through virtual reality while utilizing augmented reality for on-site navigation, tourism organizations can successfully transcend traditional physical constraints and ensure long-term sector resilience (Casado-Claro & Mattera, 2021; Vashisht et al., 2024). Furthermore, the strategic deployment of these blended physical-digital experiences fosters a sense of co-participation, allowing tourists to engage interactively with historical contexts rather than remaining passive observers (Jalil et al., 2026) passive observers (Jalil et al., 2026). This participatory model allows for the creation of extra-sensory encounters where visitors actively bridge the gap between contemporary reality and ancient history through seamless environmental integration.

Such experiences disrupt traditional perceptions of historical landscapes, encouraging visitors to revisit sites with renewed appreciation for their layered narratives. By prioritizing these immersive benefits, site managers can mitigate the fragmentation of historical data, transforming sporadic observations into a coherent, continuous engagement with Syria's architectural legacy. Ultimately, these advancements transform heritage sites into dynamic learning environments, ensuring that digital interventions serve as essential tools for preserving cultural authenticity while promoting sustainable recovery in the tourism sector (Ma et al., 2024). Integrating these extended reality tools encourages broader public stewardship of Syrian heritage, as digitized sites become accessible to global audiences who might otherwise be unable to visit in person. This democratization of access not only protects vulnerable physical structures from excessive foot traffic but also serves as a robust mechanism for long-term cultural dissemination, as these interactive archives preserve Syrian heritage for future generations (Barhoumi & Hajji, 2024). Strategic investment in these digital infrastructures will enable Syria to position itself as a vanguard of immersive heritage tourism, directly contributing to the diversification of the national economy while fostering resilient, sustainable engagement with its unique archaeological treasures. Such advancements not only generate sustainable revenue streams to support ongoing restoration efforts but also counteract the seasonal fluctuations typically observed in the regional tourism market (Laachach et al., 2024). Consequently, the adoption of extended reality platforms facilitates a deeper narrative connection, as tourists gain the agency to explore reconstructed spatial dynamics and interact with historical documentation (Dieb et al., 2024), thereby bridging the temporal divide between contemporary visitors and the multifaceted civilizations that shaped the region (Chaudhary et al., 2024; Marković et al., 2025). Furthermore, the implementation of these immersive technologies supports the long-term conservation of Syrian sites by reducing physical strain on delicate ruins while simultaneously creating new pathways for educational outreach and international research collaboration (Almasoodi, 2024; Chang et al., 2025). By deploying mixed reality as a substrate for these participatory journeys, institutions can synthesize interdisciplinary expertise to document and represent destroyed monuments through digital twin architectures.

In this framework, Virtual Reality provides full immersion for remote exploration, whereas Augmented Reality overlays digital contextual information onto the physical remains to enhance on-site interpretation (Avlonitou & Papadaki, 2024; Banfi et al., 2025). Conversely, Mixed Reality bridges these approaches by anchoring high-fidelity digital assets into the user's field of view, enabling real-time interaction with holographic reconstructions that react to the site's authentic architectural geometry. This seamless integration of physical and virtual entities allows for a reciprocal interaction that significantly intensifies emotional engagement and deepens the tourist's connection to the historical environment (Wang, 2025). Moreover, by blending virtual content with physical reality, mixed reality minimizes the disorientation often associated with purely immersive virtual environments, thereby sustaining a consistent sense of presence for the user (Lin et al., 2025). These blended experiences allow visitors to employ intuitive tactile interactions—such as writing on a physical notebook while viewing virtual artifacts—which reinforces spatial coherence and cognitive retention during their exploration (Bonis et al., 2025). Such synergistic applications leverage the unique capability of mixed reality to combine elements from both the real and virtual worlds, effectively transforming static ruins into multifaceted, interactive historical narratives. By leveraging these multi-sensory environments, cultural organizations can effectively redefine the relationship between modern visitors and tangible heritage sites. These blended experiences foster an emotional bond, as the ability to manipulate digital overlays within a physical context creates a personalized narrative that static exhibits cannot replicate. This integration of high-fidelity holographic models and on-site physical ruins empowers tourists to engage in complex, immersive narratives that harmonize contemporary site conditions with their historical significance (Chen & Xu, 2025). By leveraging such advanced interfaces, site operators can create an ambient intelligence that obscures the distinction between historical authenticity and digital reconstruction, effectively ushering in a new era of immersive cultural tourism. These interfaces enable visitors to interact with artifacts and historical narratives in novel ways, effectively shifting the role of the visitor from that of a spectator to an active participant in the restoration of cultural heritage (Li et al., 2023).

Such hybrid environments improve the educational impact of heritage sites by stimulating user senses in a more vivid manner, moving beyond traditional museum practices to create meaningful, multidimensional connections with the past (Innocente et al., 2023; Pang & Cao, 2026). Through the precise calibration of digital overlays with physical topography, these technologies enable the dynamic visualization of historical layers, allowing visitors to manipulate reconstructed architectural elements in real-time (Chu et al., 2024). Furthermore, this capability allows for time-travel simulations where users view original landscape configurations alongside current remains, significantly enhancing spatial comprehension and historical retention (Chang et al., 2023). By integrating gamified elements into these blended interfaces, stakeholders can further incentivize discovery and promote deeper engagement with specific cultural narratives. This interactive layer of gamification transforms standard historical tours into highly personalized educational journeys, effectively increasing the reach of heritage sites to a broader demographic (Basheer et al., 2023). Moreover, the deployment of such multi-layered systems facilitates a more inclusive and accessible dissemination of knowledge, ensuring that Syria's rich cultural legacy remains reachable even when physical access to contested or delicate sites is restricted. By incorporating multi-user functionality, these systems allow for shared, collaborative exploration, where avatars of other visitors populate the digital environment to foster collective navigation experiences (Chrysanthakopoulou et al., 2025).

6. Cultural Heritage Preservation

Digital documentation, utilizing high-resolution photogrammetry and LIDAR, establishes a secure, scalable archive of Syrian sites that mitigates the risk of permanent loss due to ongoing conflict or environmental degradation (Buragohain et al., 2024).

Beyond mere archival storage, these spatial datasets provide the foundational geometry necessary for reconstructing at-risk structures with high fidelity, ensuring that intangible cultural narratives remain accessible even if physical integrity is compromised (Kim & Lee, 2025).

However, to ensure these reconstructions remain authentically grounded, it is essential to incorporate local oral histories and community-led narratives into the digital framework, thereby shifting from a purely aesthetic focus to a socially negotiated representation of heritage (Xie et al., 2026). Collaborative frameworks that invite residents to participate in the virtualization process ensure that digital models preserve not only the physical geometry of a site but also the emotional and identity-based significance inherent to the community (Pérez et al., 2024). This participatory approach addresses the critical intersection of digital restoration and psychological healing, using deep learning techniques like Neural Radiance Fields and audio reconstruction to embed personal ambient soundscapes that reflect the lived reality of displaced populations (Chen et al., 2025). By prioritizing these community-derived layers of meaning, digital replicas move beyond mere aesthetic accuracy to address the broader ontological debate surrounding heritage authenticity in post-conflict identity construction (Michel, 2023). Furthermore, this user-centric methodology ensures that digital reconstructions serve as inclusive repositories that reflect diverse generational perspectives rather than singular, top-down historical narratives (Aziz et al., 2024). By incorporating such diverse input, heritage practitioners mitigate the risks of digital erasure and ensure that the reconstructed environment validates the collective memory of affected Syrian communities (Adewojo, 2024). This participatory model aligns with broader recovery strategies by fostering social cohesion and empowering local professionals to manage their own heritage narratives through technical capacity-building (Brzezicki et al., 2019; Noaime & Alnaim, 2025). Such initiatives must also confront the epistemological challenges of verification, ensuring that crowdsourced contributions and community narratives are synthesized with rigorous archaeological data to maintain the credibility of the digital archive (K, 2025). Ultimately, this requires an ethical framework that avoids the imposition of ideological symbols, ensuring that digital projects prioritize the needs of local communities to identify with and reconcile their heritage.

By documenting these communal relationships and the contested nature of site significance alongside technical datasets, practitioners can ensure that digital visualizations remain transparent regarding the evolving, multiple meanings of the spaces they represent. This synthesis of technical precision and lived experience creates a resilient digital infrastructure that supports sustainable tourism by promoting a nuanced, localized understanding of Syrian history. By integrating these diverse stakeholder perspectives into the design phase, heritage managers can foster an inclusive environment that addresses the complex socio-political sensitivities surrounding post-conflict site reconstruction.

7. Visitor Engagement Outcomes

Immersive environments facilitate transformative learning by shifting visitors from passive observers to active participants, as interactive simulations—such as those involving architectural reconstruction—have been shown to significantly enhance factual understanding and emotional appreciation of archaeological contexts (Caponi et al., 2026).

This hands-on participation, grounded in embodied cognition, allows users to reconstruct ancient processes, thereby fostering a deeper pedagogical connection to lesser-known sites (Alhyari et al., 2026). Furthermore, by providing inclusive interfaces that accommodate varying levels of physical and cognitive mobility, these immersive tools ensure that heritage sites remain accessible to marginalized groups and remote learners alike (Antón et al., 2024). By utilizing narrative-driven virtual experiences and gamification, these technologies democratize access to Syrian heritage, allowing individuals unable to travel due to conflict or physical limitations to explore sites within safe, controlled environments (Wang et al., 2024). These platforms also facilitate multi-vocal interpretations, allowing diverse demographic groups to engage with history through culturally embedded narratives that honor collective memory. By leveraging dual-modality input and interactive visualizations, these platforms effectively optimize cognitive outcomes, supporting both episodic and semantic memory retention through high levels of presence. Moreover, these systems foster social cohesion by bridging the

divide between historical data and contemporary audiences, as immersive interactions allow users to explore diverse, culturally embedded interpretations of the past. By shifting the interpretive authority to the user, these systems encourage participants to question historical gaps and actively contribute to the ongoing discourse surrounding preservation, which has been shown to improve critical engagement with site authenticity (Pisani et al., 2023). Furthermore, the application of historical empathy frameworks within these immersive spaces has been empirically linked to substantial increases in human rights awareness and cultural understanding, particularly among audiences engaging with challenging or traumatic heritage (Mohammed-Amin et al., 2025). By removing the geographical and physical barriers inherent in traditional site visitation, these immersive platforms foster equitable participation for global audiences and displaced Syrian populations alike (Lee et al., 2019; Oladokun et al., 2024). By designing interfaces that prioritize intuitive usability and inclusive content delivery, institutions can effectively bridge the digital divide for those with varying levels of technological literacy or prior historical knowledge. Furthermore, the adoption of multimodal storytelling, which integrates automatic narration with non-linear exploration, effectively addresses diverse learning styles to ensure that complex historical information remains accessible to all users (Hutson & Hutson, 2023). Ultimately, this democratization of access transcends the limitations of physical space, providing a scalable solution for global audiences to engage deeply with Syrian cultural narratives regardless of their socioeconomic or geographic constraints (Gao, 2025; Ruíz et al., 2024). By prioritizing these inclusive design parameters, these immersive platforms not only elevate historical tourism but also function as pedagogical instruments that promote cognitive and emotional empathy (Semwal et al., 2024). This dynamic approach to heritage interpretation further serves to dismantle barriers for individuals with cognitive or physical limitations, transforming static exhibits into inclusive environments where accessibility is built into the core experience (Clini et al., 2025). By incorporating assistive features such as voice command navigation and adjustable, text-to-speech enabled interfaces, these systems guarantee that cultural heritage remains an equitable resource for users with diverse physical and cognitive needs (Zhu & He, 2025).

Consequently, the integration of these technological safeguards empowers broader visitor demographics to derive meaningful educational value, with research indicating that such interactive formats significantly improve knowledge acquisition and user satisfaction (Bisio et al., 2025; Papadopoulou et al., 2024). By leveraging these technologies as institutional tools for social responsibility, museums can ensure that the dissemination of Syrian history remains genuinely accessible to all, effectively mitigating the exclusion of individuals with sensory or cognitive impairments (Boulakal, 2025). Moreover, implementing adaptive design frameworks allows for the creation of personalized journeys that accommodate the specific technological proficiencies of different user segments, ranging from passive observers to selective explorers (Tawil et al., 2026). This shift toward neurodiverse-sensitive design, which goes beyond addressing mere physical barriers, utilizes multisensory storytelling to ensure that heritage remains an inclusive space for cognitive and sensory participation (Župčan, 2023). Such tailored, adaptive experiences are crucial for catering to the varying technological proficiencies of different user segments, ensuring that both navigationally focused and visually oriented participants remain equally engaged (Tawil et al., 2026). Ultimately, this strategic adoption of extended reality not only broadens the reach of Syrian heritage to a global audience but also ensures that the cultural narratives remain vibrant and relevant across disparate socioeconomic and educational backgrounds (Gao, 2025; Lu et al., 2023). Additionally, incorporating specialized "story maps" and sensory-focused content can further support individuals with ADHD or autism by providing structured, predictable environments that facilitate personalized engagement with complex historical data. By emphasizing the capacity for interaction to reinforce memory processes, these immersive environments successfully mitigate cognitive constraints, as active engagement with historical content has been shown to yield significantly higher retention rates than passive observation. This multisensory integration, rooted in dual coding and affective neuroscience, transforms cognitive load management from a technical challenge into a pedagogical strength, ensuring deep encoding of Syrian narratives (Srdanović et al., 2025).

By balancing cognitive load with carefully calibrated sensory stimulation, these narrative-driven frameworks optimize the visitor's journey to foster both emotional resonance and learning effectiveness (Barberis et al., 2026). Furthermore, the adoption of Universal Design for Learning principles allows institutions to accommodate diverse cognitive profiles, including those with learning disabilities or varied educational backgrounds, by offering flexible representation and engagement methods (Zaus, 2025). These adaptive environments facilitate the co-construction of meaning, enabling users to move from passive knowledge consumption to active participation in the preservation process (Salveti & Bertagni, 2026). By integrating these adaptive, multimodal systems, heritage managers can effectively align virtual environments with the unique cognitive and navigational requirements of individual visitors (Mercimek & Bülbül, 2025). Moreover, the implementation of such tailored digital architectures facilitates reflective learning by allowing visitors to synthesize historical information at their own pace, an approach consistently shown to enhance both satisfaction and depth of understanding in cultural contexts (Anwar et al., 2025). By optimizing representational fidelity alongside intuitive user control, these immersive platforms move beyond mere entertainment to catalyze deeper historical thinking and personal narrative formation (Smets & Euser, 2026; Yoo & Yu, 2024). This personalization extends to those with health-related travel restrictions, as virtual tours provide a viable alternative for accessing remote or inaccessible Syrian heritage sites without compromising the educational experience. Furthermore, these digital interventions foster equitable participation by bridging the generational divide, as younger cohorts demonstrate a heightened affinity for immersive technological platforms compared to their older counterparts. Bridging this gap, designers can employ gamified XR applications that standardize interaction protocols, thereby ensuring that elderly users and those less accustomed to digital environments can navigate heritage narratives with intuitive ease. By prioritizing interface simplicity and linear navigation pathways, curators can successfully accommodate the distinct digital literacy requirements of older adults, ensuring that cultural immersion remains a cross-generational endeavor.

8. Sustainable Tourism Framework

To ensure long-term viability, this framework must synthesize site preservation with local socioeconomic advancement, positioning heritage sites as drivers of the green economy rather than mere tourist commodities.

Integrating XR-driven virtual visitation models allows for the redistribution of foot traffic, thereby reducing the physical degradation of sensitive archaeological sites while generating revenue through high-value digital experiences. Furthermore, by prioritizing local participation in the development of these digital assets, tourism planning can directly support the recovery of Syrian urban centers, fostering social legitimacy and community resilience through participatory governance (Brestov & Merdev, 2025; Noaime & Alnaim, 2025). By involving local stakeholders in the collaborative design of digital twins and augmented reality reconstructions, heritage managers can ensure that technological deployment directly aligns with community-led priorities for economic capacity building (Aljaafreh et al., 2023; DIANGGOROBLES & Eddyono, 2026). This strategic integration of digital infrastructure further enables the creation of localized micro-economies, where community-managed XR platforms provide vocational training in digital archival and content curation. By diversifying the professional skill sets of local youth, this approach reduces the reliance on external technical expertise and cultivates a sustainable, community-owned heritage management ecosystem. Such initiatives concurrently mitigate the risks of over-tourism by dispersing visitor interest through virtual off-site previews that encourage responsible, lower-impact physical visitation patterns. Consequently, these digital interventions serve as an economic buffer that protects fragile archaeological integrity while simultaneously fostering long-term social stability through the empowerment of local cultural practitioners. Ultimately, this holistic strategy transforms digital heritage from a passive preservation tool into a catalyst for socio-economic revitalisation, ensuring that the benefits of Syrian cultural assets remain rooted in the communities that define them. Strategic alignment with regional development goals further necessitates that these digital initiatives remain tethered to infrastructure improvements, ensuring that virtual connectivity

enhances rather than replaces physical accessibility to historic districts. Consequently, by embedding these technological frameworks within broader municipal development plans, Syria can cultivate a resilient tourism model that harmonizes the conservation of fragile antiquity with the urgent need for inclusive, community-driven economic growth. This approach further enables the establishment of digital heritage hubs that serve as regional anchors, channeling tourist engagement toward local artisan markets and cultural enterprises. These hubs can facilitate revenue-sharing mechanisms and provide targeted training programs, empowering local entrepreneurs to monetize traditional craftsmanship alongside their digital expertise. This symbiotic model ensures that the digital preservation of Syrian heritage directly supports the livelihoods of local residents, effectively transforming cultural data into sustainable capital. By fostering such localized ecosystems, this framework effectively mitigates the risk of cultural commodification, ensuring that the modernization of heritage management remains firmly anchored in the socio-economic recovery of Syrian communities. Building upon this foundation, policy frameworks should prioritize the integration of localized metadata and community-curated storytelling, ensuring that digital narratives reflect the cultural nuances and lived experiences of the Syrian people. This decentralized approach to documentation empowers local stakeholders to serve as the primary custodians of their cultural identity, effectively preventing the homogenization of narratives often found in externally managed tourism projects. By formalizing these community-driven archival practices, municipal authorities can establish a robust legislative framework that safeguards digital intellectual property while promoting authentic cultural representation in the global tourism market. Such initiatives must also emphasize the interoperability of these data repositories with national tourism databases to streamline marketing efforts and improve data-driven decision-making for regional heritage authorities. Moreover, by aligning these data-sharing protocols with regional economic development policies, authorities can incentivize public-private partnerships that secure long-term funding for ongoing infrastructure maintenance and digital skill development.

These collaborative initiatives, if underpinned by robust cybersecurity protocols, will ensure that the digitization of Syrian heritage remains a secure and equitable mechanism for long-term national development. Furthermore, the establishment of decentralized, blockchain-based registries for digital artifacts can enhance transparency in heritage-linked revenue distribution, ensuring that economic benefits are equitably returned to the local stakeholders responsible for site stewardship. This technological transition facilitates a shift toward decentralized conservation governance, where real-time monitoring of heritage sites becomes a collaborative endeavor between local custodians and regional planners. By leveraging these integrated monitoring systems, authorities can prioritize the restoration of site-specific cultural assets that are most critical to local social cohesion, thereby directing limited development resources toward high-impact heritage interventions. This targeted allocation of resources ensures that technological investments transcend mere visual spectacle, actively contributing to the physical structural stabilization of at-risk monuments. Furthermore, integrating these site-monitoring data streams with local tourism management platforms allows for the adaptive regulation of visitor flows, preventing infrastructure degradation in fragile historical zones. By synchronizing these management tools with seasonal visitor data, local authorities can proactively implement dynamic capacity limits that safeguard archaeological structural integrity while optimizing the economic yield of each tourist arrival.

9. Challenges & Opportunities

Despite the clear benefits and strategic imperatives for adopting sustainable manufacturing practices underpinned by Industry 4.0, numerous challenges impede their widespread implementation, particularly within the unique socio-economic and geopolitical landscape of the Syrian industry.

These challenges often stem from a combination of infrastructure deficits, economic instability, regulatory complexities, and a lack of skilled labor, which collectively hinder the effective integration of advanced sustainable technologies. These multifaceted barriers necessitate a tailored approach to facilitate the transition towards sustainable industrial practices, considering the specific context and resource constraints prevalent in Syria.

Addressing these challenges requires innovative strategies that encompass technological adaptation, capacity building, and policy frameworks designed to foster resilience and promote sustainable industrial development in conflict-affected regions. Moreover, the prevailing conditions in Syria, marked by ongoing conflict and economic sanctions, significantly exacerbate these challenges, making the adoption of capital-intensive sustainable technologies particularly arduous. Furthermore, the absence of robust institutional support and limited access to international collaborations further complicates efforts to transition towards green innovation and sustainable industrial development (Liu and Ling, 2020) (Cioffi et al., 2020). These hurdles are compounded by the inherent difficulties in achieving radical, rather than merely incremental, sustainable technological change within an environment characterized by pervasive uncertainty and a business-as-usual scenario that often prioritizes immediate survival over long-term environmental stewardship (Söderholm, 2020). This context underscores the critical need for a pragmatic and phased implementation strategy, leveraging existing capacities while progressively introducing advanced digital and sustainable technologies. However, beyond these country-specific obstacles, there are broader, systemic challenges in implementing sustainability, including inadequate knowledge dissemination, difficulties in operationalizing complex sustainable development goals, and the inherent subjectivity in evaluating social and environmental impacts across supply chains (Abbasi, 2017) (Al-Alqam, Rehman and Alsultan, 2022). This global challenge is further complicated by the inertia within existing systems of provision and consumption, which impedes the widespread diffusion of technological innovations and new infrastructures crucial for achieving sustainability (Markard, Geels and Raven, 2020). Such systemic problems, often rooted in institutional inertia and a lack of common vision, underscore the necessity for integrated policy approaches and interventions that transcend national boundaries to foster a more resilient and sustainable industrial future (Sixt, Klerkx and Griffin, 2017). This research aims to critically examine these multifaceted challenges within the Syrian industrial context, proposing actionable frameworks and strategies that account for both localized constraints and global best practices in sustainable manufacturing.

The objective is to bridge the gap between theoretical frameworks for industrial sustainability and their practical application in challenging environments, emphasizing tailored solutions for resilient industrial development. This involves a comprehensive analysis of the existing industrial infrastructure, identifying critical vulnerabilities, and proposing phased interventions for technological upgrading and capacity building. This includes assessing the readiness of small and medium-sized enterprises to adopt sustainable practices, recognizing their pivotal role in economic recovery and job creation (Onu and Mbohwa, 2019). The analysis will also evaluate the efficacy of current environmental policies and regulatory frameworks, identifying gaps and opportunities for strengthening governance to promote greener industrial practices (Sinaga, HI and Pawirosumarto, 2025). This holistic approach seeks to identify pragmatic pathways for transitioning towards a more sustainable industrial paradigm, acknowledging that comprehensive industrial sustainability requires integrated solutions that address economic, environmental, and social dimensions simultaneously. This involves a critical assessment of how existing industrial policies can be reoriented to support sustainable structural change, moving beyond purely economic metrics to encompass broader societal and environmental objectives (Ferrannini et al., 2020).

The transformation towards sustainability presents significant opportunities for countries like Syria that are not yet locked into irreversible industrial structures, allowing for leapfrogging to more advanced and sustainable production models (Kastelli, Mamica and Lee, 2023). This offers a unique chance for developing nations to integrate green industrial policies that simultaneously drive economic growth and reduce environmental impact, avoiding the retrofitting challenges faced by heavily industrialized economies (Tamasiga et al., 2023; Benito and Meyer, 2024). This allows for the development of an integrated approach to sustainable development, encouraging a stronger interrelationship between environmental and industrial policies, and promoting the role of business in achieving sustainable outcomes (Oral, Kakar and Saygin, 2021). Furthermore, aligning industrial strategies with circular economy principles and Industry 4.0 technologies can accelerate the transition to a low-carbon economy, fostering resilience against global climate change and resource depletion.

Such policies, when properly formulated, can facilitate access to green technologies, support joint ventures, and invest in technical training, thus promoting a globally inclusive and fair energy transition (Maltais and Suljada, 2025). Moreover, the strategic adoption of green innovation can mitigate environmental degradation while simultaneously fostering long-term economic growth, particularly in resource-dependent regions facing pressures for economic diversification (Elkebti and Khalifa, 2025). This transformation also provides a fertile ground for cross-disciplinary collaboration, leveraging insights from environmental economics, corporate strategy, and engineering to develop holistic approaches to green industry development (Tsai, Liu and Yuan, 2023). Moreover, by embracing green industrial policies, nations can foster structural diversification and create new employment opportunities, thereby contributing to broader economic prosperity (Li, 2015; Kastelli, Mamica and Lee, 2023). These policies are crucial for emerging economies striving for both economic expansion and carbon neutrality, promoting a resilient approach to industrial performance (Rasheed et al., 2024). This shift enables the creation of new markets for sustainable products and services, fostering a competitive edge in the global economy (Industrial Development Report 2024, 2024). Furthermore, by aligning with global sustainability agendas, Syrian industries can attract foreign direct investment and integrate into international green value chains, thereby enhancing their economic resilience and competitiveness (Maltais and Suljada, 2025). This integration into global green value chains can lead to the transfer of advanced sustainable technologies and expertise, further bolstering domestic industrial capabilities (Buda and Ricz, 2023). This engagement also provides an avenue for knowledge sharing and joint research, fostering an environment conducive to continuous innovation in sustainable practices (Bett, 2024). Moreover, the adoption of green technologies, such as solar and wind energy, alongside improved energy efficiency and resource optimization, directly contributes to reducing carbon emissions and fostering sustainable industrial growth (Filho et al., 2025; Lema, Rabellotti and Ambrogi, 2025).

By strategically integrating renewable energy and energy-efficient technologies, industries can enhance productivity, expand trade opportunities, and attract foreign direct investment, aligning with global sustainable development goals (Zehri, 2025).

10. Framework Components

The proposed architecture mandates a tripartite structure: a digital infrastructure layer for site preservation, an economic management tier for local enterprise integration, and a governance protocol ensuring community oversight.

Within this framework, municipal authorities serve as primary regulators, while heritage experts provide the technical methodology necessary for high-fidelity digitization, and local community cooperatives act as the essential stakeholders for both content curation and economic implementation.

This collaborative synergy ensures that long-term preservation efforts remain responsive to shifting socio-economic needs, effectively bridging the gap between national heritage conservation mandates and the grassroots priorities of the communities residing within historic zones.

To operationalize this structure, technical committees must establish clear inter-organizational feedback loops that align digital preservation targets with tangible improvements in regional public infrastructure. These interdisciplinary teams should facilitate direct reinvestment of generated digital tourism revenues into the physical revitalization of heritage-adjacent public utilities, such as transportation networks and sustainable waste management systems. By prioritizing these infrastructure upgrades, the framework ensures that tourism-driven revenue directly improves the quality of life for residents, thereby fostering community resilience alongside cultural conservation. Ultimately, this holistic strategy transforms digital heritage assets from static archives into dynamic engines for inclusive development, ensuring that technological adoption catalyzes both the restoration of the Syrian built environment and the socioeconomic empowerment of its people. Future policy iterations should incorporate longitudinal impact assessments to measure the correlation between virtual heritage experiences and the measurable growth of local small-to-medium enterprises. Such assessments must also prioritize the analysis of long-term workforce retention rates within the cultural tourism sector to ensure that skill development initiatives successfully reduce reliance on temporary or external labor.

By fostering internal technical proficiency, these policies will create a sustainable, self-reinforcing ecosystem where heritage conservation serves as a cornerstone for long-term regional stability. Ultimately, the integration of these technological frameworks into broader national strategic plans provides a viable pathway to reconcile the urgent demands of post-conflict reconstruction with the imperative of preserving Syria's irreplaceable cultural legacy. Consistent with this objective, subsequent implementation phases should establish cross-sectoral task forces dedicated to reconciling digitized site data with real-time humanitarian infrastructure requirements. This dual-purpose data integration ensures that digital restoration tools simultaneously function as diagnostic instruments for assessing the safety of residential areas located within or adjacent to sensitive archaeological zones. Furthermore, creating unified digital dashboards that bridge architectural preservation data with municipal resource mapping allows local governance to optimize the delivery of public services during urban revitalization projects. Such unified platforms empower local councils to coordinate heritage-led urban development with the urgent restoration of essential utilities, ensuring that the preservation of historical integrity directly bolsters the functionality of contemporary civic spaces. This strategic alignment minimizes the fragmentation of urban planning efforts by embedding cultural heritage within the broader socio-economic recovery of Syrian municipalities. By embedding these digital frameworks into municipal policy, local administrations can foster a symbiotic relationship between historical site management and the broader objective of urban resilience. Moreover, the establishment of decentralized digital registries will enable local stakeholders to verify the socio-economic impact of heritage projects, ensuring that technological deployment remains transparent and accountable to regional development goals. This decentralized approach fosters a robust governance model where community-led oversight prevents the alienation of local populations from their own heritage assets. By prioritizing local agency in the governance of these digital archives, authorities can ensure that the digitization of cultural assets serves as a tool for collective identity building rather than merely facilitating external academic or commercial exploitation.

Furthermore, this model necessitates the implementation of capacity-building workshops that equip local residents with the skills required to manage VR and AR interfaces, thereby transitioning community members from passive observers to active stewards of the digital economy. By formalizing these training pathways, the framework secures long-term institutional knowledge, ensuring that the operation of immersive heritage technologies remains a sustainable, indigenous endeavor rather than a precarious dependence on international technical support. Ultimately, this localized expertise enables the creation of culturally nuanced visitor experiences that prioritize authentic narratives over commercialized tourism tropes, ensuring that Syria's heritage remains an empowering asset for its own citizenry. This shift toward community-led technological stewardship directly informs the strategic division of responsibilities, where national heritage authorities provide the technical standards for digital documentation while local municipalities govern the specific deployment and commercial oversight of site-based AR/VR applications.

11. Sustainability Principles

To ensure the long-term viability of these initiatives, tourism planning must mandate that digital monetization strategies contribute directly to the physical restoration of sites and local service infrastructure.

This requires reinvesting a fixed percentage of digital entry fees into regional conservation funds, decoupling site maintenance from volatile external aid. Furthermore, balancing heritage protection with responsible tourism requires strict limits on visitor density at physical sites, using VR-mediated "digital buffers" to divert high-volume traffic away from fragile architectural contexts. This approach mitigates physical erosion while concurrently extending the reach of these sites to a global audience, thereby diversifying revenue streams without compromising the structural integrity of historically sensitive zones. By synchronizing these digital management protocols with community-led development initiatives, the framework establishes a regenerative economic loop that prioritizes the welfare of residents over the demands of mass-market commercialization.

Such a transition requires the adoption of phased visitor management strategies that prioritize community-led storytelling, ensuring that immersive interpretations remain culturally sensitive and socially equitable. Furthermore, these immersive experiences must undergo rigorous ethical review processes involving local historians and community elders to prevent the reductive simplification of Syrian history for touristic consumption. To reinforce this ethical commitment, site-specific management plans should incorporate longitudinal social impact assessments to monitor how tourism growth affects local livelihood stability and cultural cohesion. By integrating these assessments into the municipal development cycle, local authorities can adaptively regulate tourism intensity to protect social fabric, ensuring that the introduction of immersive technology acts as a catalyst for sustainable growth rather than a disruptor of local equilibrium. In addition, establishing formal feedback loops between site-based visitors and local artisanal cooperatives can channel tourism revenue directly into the maintenance of traditional crafts, thereby linking digital engagement to the preservation of living cultural heritage. Ultimately, this integration of tangible and intangible heritage preservation ensures that the economic benefits of digital tourism are equitably distributed, fostering long-term resilience within Syria's most vulnerable historic districts. By creating these direct economic linkages, the digital framework transcends mere spectacle, positioning heritage technology as an essential driver for inclusive socio-economic revitalization. Consequently, this strategic alignment ensures that technological integration functions not as a peripheral amenity, but as a core pillar of Syria's post-conflict reconstruction and long-term socioeconomic stability. This multifaceted approach empowers Syrian municipalities to leverage historical legacy as a sustainable catalyst for regional development, effectively transforming sites into hubs of digital innovation and social cohesion. By embedding these interactive platforms within existing educational and civic infrastructures, the framework cultivates an environment where historical preservation actively contributes to modern workforce development. By integrating advanced digital literacy training into vocational programs, the initiative provides the local youth with the technical proficiencies needed to sustain a burgeoning creative economy. Such alignment fosters a robust intersection between heritage conservation and local innovation,

ultimately ensuring that technological investments generate tangible, long-term dividends for Syria's social and economic landscape. Through this synergy, immersive technologies function as a catalyst for sustainable development, reinforcing both the physical integrity of historical landmarks and the socio-economic vitality of the communities that inhabit them. Furthermore, the strategic deployment of these technologies facilitates a decentralized model of cultural governance, empowering regional actors to curate their own digital narratives and economic pathways. This shift empowers local stakeholders to define the boundaries of digital engagement, ensuring that technological integration remains subservient to the preservation of cultural authenticity and site-specific social needs. Such grassroots-led governance frameworks transform the digital experience from a standardized tourist product into a dynamic, community-owned archival tool that evolves alongside the population's shifting socio-economic requirements. By decentralizing control, these frameworks effectively safeguard heritage sites against the risks of cultural commodification while fostering a sustainable model of tourism that centers community agency. This decentralized paradigm also incentivizes the collaborative design of digital interfaces that prioritize local knowledge, ensuring that the presentation of historical narratives remains accurate and respectful of regional identity. By establishing these community-driven digital stewardship models, Syrian cultural institutions can effectively reconcile the immediate imperative for international visibility with the foundational requirement of local socio-economic sovereignty.

12. Implementation and Evaluation

Successful implementation requires the establishment of a robust digital infrastructure, encompassing reliable high-speed connectivity and energy-resilient power grids, which necessitates inter-ministerial coordination between heritage authorities and telecommunications regulators.

Moreover, evaluating the efficacy of these technological interventions requires a standardized set of metrics, such as the qualitative assessment of local artisan inclusion and the longitudinal monitoring of site-specific cultural integrity.

Quantitative benchmarks should also account for visitor satisfaction regarding narrative authenticity, alongside objective indicators of economic redistribution to community-led cooperatives. These performance benchmarks must be integrated into periodic policy reviews to ensure that technical deployments remain aligned with national preservation mandates and local socio-economic objectives. Furthermore, fostering a culture of iterative design ensures that stakeholders can adaptively refine digital interfaces in response to real-time preservation data and changing community needs. Building on these evaluative frameworks, future policy must prioritize the creation of a centralized digital repository to ensure that immersive assets remain accessible and interoperable across various administrative jurisdictions. Such centralized governance necessitates rigorous cybersecurity protocols to protect sensitive spatial data from unauthorized exploitation or digital piracy. Concurrently, the adoption of blockchain-based provenance tracking for digital assets can provide an immutable record of historical data, mitigating the risks of cultural appropriation and unauthorized commercialization. By institutionalizing these cybersecurity standards, authorities can establish a secure regulatory environment that mitigates the potential for illicit data manipulation. Furthermore, the adoption of open-access standards for these repositories ensures long-term interoperability, allowing academic researchers and local communities to utilize captured high-fidelity data for continued site monitoring and collaborative conservation efforts. Ultimately, the establishment of these digital safeguards creates a resilient ecosystem that balances global visibility with the rigorous protection of national intellectual property. These protective measures effectively bridge the gap between technological innovation and the ethical stewardship of cultural assets, creating a transparent, standardized protocol for the management of Syria's digitized heritage. Such a comprehensive oversight mechanism ultimately serves as a benchmark for sustainable development, ensuring that immersive technologies enhance rather than overshadow the tangible archaeological remains. Establishing a multi-tiered regulatory framework will be essential to ensure that site-specific archaeological data is to ensure that site-specific archaeological data is utilized exclusively for authorized research and restorative applications.

By formalizing these protocols, policymakers can cultivate a resilient framework that harmonizes the rapid digitalization of heritage assets with the stringent requirement for long-term site preservation. This regulatory rigor must be complemented by capacity-building initiatives that train local curators in digital forensic techniques, thereby ensuring the longevity of archaeological records against both physical degradation and unauthorized digital alteration. Additionally, the creation of a national digital oversight committee, tasked with conducting biannual impact assessments, will verify that all immersive tourism applications maintain strict adherence to international ethical charters regarding the digital reconstruction of conflict-affected sites. Such oversight bodies must explicitly integrate multi-stakeholder consultations to ensure that virtual representations of damaged landmarks prioritize historical accuracy over speculative aesthetics. These evaluations should prioritize the mitigation of digital bias, ensuring that virtual narratives avoid reductive interpretations that might inadvertently marginalize the diverse lived experiences of local Syrian communities. Furthermore, these evaluative protocols must mandate the inclusion of localized feedback loops, allowing community members to directly influence the calibration of augmented reality visualizations to ensure they reflect evolving contemporary identities. To facilitate this, policy frameworks should incentivize public-private partnerships that secure the financial sustainability of these digital platforms while shielding cultural data from commercial exploitation. By leveraging these strategic collaborations, authorities can transition toward a scalable model where digital tourism revenues are directly reinvested into the physical restoration of endangered archaeological sites. This systematic reinvestment ensures that virtual tourism acts as a catalytic driver for tangible conservation rather than a decoupled digital commodity. Ultimately, the success of this integrative approach hinges on achieving a balance between universal technological accessibility and the sovereign right of Syrian institutions to manage their own cultural narrative. Furthermore, developing robust technical infrastructure, particularly resilient high-bandwidth networks, remains a fundamental prerequisite for deploying these immersive tools in remote or fragile archaeological zones.

To address this, policymakers must prioritize decentralized energy solutions and satellite-linked data transmission to bypass localized grid instabilities, thereby guaranteeing the operational reliability of AR/VR equipment. Moreover, establishing standardized performance metrics, such as site-specific user engagement latency and the fidelity of multi-resolution photogrammetric reconstructions, will be critical for benchmarking the technical efficacy of these deployments. Beyond technical metrics, these evaluation frameworks should incorporate qualitative indicators that measure the impact of immersive experiences on local economic empowerment and community-led historical interpretation. By triangulating these qualitative benchmarks with quantitative data on site-specific physical restoration outcomes, authorities can generate a longitudinal performance index to refine future heritage management strategies. This longitudinal assessment should also integrate socio-cultural impact metrics to determine whether digital interventions effectively foster public agency and strengthen collective ties to heritage sites over time. To operationalize these criteria, iterative pilot programs should be implemented across diverse archaeological zones to calibrate engagement tools against verified community-held historical narratives. These pilot initiatives should be evaluated through longitudinal surveys that assess shifts in site-visitor appreciation and the subsequent growth in community-led conservation advocacy. Furthermore, establishing a centralized digital repository of these findings will enable stakeholders to identify site-specific patterns in visitor perception, facilitating the iterative refinement of augmented reality content to ensure it remains responsive to local cultural sensitivities. Ultimately, the long-term viability of these digital frameworks depends on the integration of adaptive governance models that can flexibly respond to emerging technological trends while maintaining the integrity of foundational historical data. By establishing a robust interoperability standard, these governance models will facilitate the seamless integration of cross-platform data, thereby ensuring that digital heritage assets remain accessible and verifiable across future technological iterations. This interoperability architecture serves as the bedrock for a scalable digital ecosystem, enabling the secure preservation of Syrian cultural heritage as a living, verifiable, and globally accessible resource.

Consequently, the successful deployment of these immersive systems necessitates a multi-layered infrastructure strategy that prioritizes local data sovereignty alongside the seamless integration of global technical standards. This strategic alignment ensures that technological deployment remains inextricably linked to broader national heritage policy, fostering an environment where cultural preservation is both digitally robust and locally anchored. Finally, the implementation of localized cybersecurity protocols will protect sensitive geospatial data from illicit extraction, thereby safeguarding the intellectual property of Syrian heritage institutions. These protocols, coupled with rigorous auditing of third-party digital vendors, will mitigate risks of external data exploitation and ensure that the digital provenance of reconstructed artifacts remains under the jurisdiction of national custodians. This institutional control must be further reinforced by legislative frameworks that mandate transparent metadata standards, ensuring that comprehensive provenance records accompany all reconstructed virtual assets. Such legislative measures effectively transform virtual representations into reliable proxies for physical artifacts, thereby establishing a legal foundation for their recognition within international cultural property frameworks. Additionally, integrating blockchain-based authentication for digital reconstructions will provide an immutable ledger of stewardship, verifying that virtual assets align with established archaeological consensus. To facilitate this oversight, authorities should implement a tiered access model that distinguishes between scholarly open-source data for research and protected, proprietary datasets for high-fidelity public engagement platforms.

By standardizing these data-access protocols, Syrian authorities can cultivate a sustainable digital economy that incentivizes local archaeological expertise while shielding sensitive site locations from unauthorized geospatial surveying.

Furthermore, these governance structures should include mechanisms for collaborative knowledge sharing between academic institutions and local communities, ensuring that digital preservation strategies remain responsive to ground-level social dynamics. This collaborative oversight ensures that technological interventions serve as catalysts for social cohesion rather than mere extractive tools of cultural commercialization.

Ultimately, the integration of these participatory frameworks creates a feedback loop that balances digital accessibility with the ethical imperative to maintain authentic, community-validated representations of cultural identity. Furthermore, the long-term sustainability of this digital infrastructure requires robust public-private partnerships that secure reliable energy grids and high-speed network connectivity within historically significant, often remote, archaeological zones. Moreover, the deployment of modular, solar-powered edge computing units can bridge the infrastructural deficit in these areas, ensuring consistent performance for real-time virtual simulations despite the lack of centralized utility coverage. Equally, the implementation of decentralized maintenance protocols, managed by local site custodians, will ensure the continued operational reliability of these hardware deployments. This localized maintenance model minimizes dependence on external technical support, thereby empowering regional heritage authorities to sustain immersive visitor experiences autonomously. To quantify the efficacy of these interventions, future evaluation frameworks must integrate quantitative metrics such as visitor dwell-time variance and qualitative assessments of cultural resonance. Additionally, these metrics should be supplemented by longitudinal analysis of community economic indicators to determine the degree to which digital tourism fosters sustainable local development and heritage-based employment. Moreover, establishing standardized benchmarks for digital authenticity—measured by the fidelity of spatial reconstruction and the accuracy of metadata—will provide a rigorous basis for verifying the educational impact of these immersive technologies. By mapping these performance benchmarks against shifting site attendance and preservation budget allocations, stakeholders can iteratively refine their digital strategies to optimize both the protection of vulnerable archaeological sites and the quality of visitor engagement. Such evidence-based assessments will ultimately catalyze a transition from passive, site-based tourism toward a resilient, digitally augmented model that prioritizes the conservation of cultural integrity. This systematic evaluation process further necessitates the establishment of a centralized clearinghouse for data collection, which would synchronize performance reporting across disparate archaeological sites.

This unified repository will facilitate longitudinal comparative studies, allowing policymakers to correlate technological investment with long-term site stability and socio-economic revitalization efforts across the region. By operationalizing these data-driven insights, state actors can ensure that digital interventions are not merely additive, but fundamentally supportive of the physical site's structural integrity and its socio-cultural significance. Ultimately, the successful institutionalization of these frameworks relies on establishing a circular funding model where revenues from high-value digital experiences are reinvested directly into the physical structural restoration of endangered archaeological remains. This closed-loop financial mechanism creates a symbiotic relationship between virtual innovation and physical preservation, ensuring that technological adoption directly enhances the longevity of the tangible heritage it represents. Strategic alignment of these financial streams serves to mitigate the fiscal volatility often inherent in heritage management, thereby institutionalizing resilience against future environmental and geopolitical disruptions. Moreover, establishing inter-ministerial task forces dedicated to digital heritage policy will harmonize regulatory standards, preventing the fragmentation of preservation efforts across diverse Syrian sites. These governance bodies should simultaneously establish cross-border data sovereignty agreements to protect against the illicit digital appropriation of heritage assets by international entities. Such robust policy frameworks create a secure environment for innovation, transforming digital heritage from a vulnerable asset into a protected national resource. Consequently, this multi-layered approach ensures that the digital transformation of the Syrian cultural landscape is governed by clear ethical mandates and technical standards that prioritize long-term preservation over transient commercial interests.

Applying it to archaeological sites requires rigorous site-specific assessments that account for structural fragility, existing environmental conditions, and the density of archaeological material, ensuring that hardware installations do not compromise site integrity. Furthermore, rigorous digital impact assessments must mandate non-invasive installation techniques to prevent vibrational damage or physical encroachment upon delicate substrates during the deployment of sensory arrays.

These assessments should also incorporate long-term monitoring protocols for thermal and electromagnetic interference, ensuring that constant hardware operations do not inadvertently accelerate the decay of porous masonry or ancient pigments. Beyond physical site protection, these protocols must mandate periodic environmental audits to calibrate hardware energy dissipation against localized climatic fluctuations. Finally, establishing multi-stakeholder oversight committees will facilitate the transparent review of these audits, ensuring that all digital enhancements adhere to international conservation charters. This comprehensive governance architecture thus serves to bridge the gap between rapid technological integration and the imperative of safeguarding Syria's fragile material history. Furthermore, securing reliable energy infrastructures—such as decentralized solar-powered microgrids—remains essential for sustaining these digital deployments within regions experiencing intermittent access to national utility networks. Additionally, the implementation of localized cybersecurity protocols is required to safeguard proprietary spatial data and interactive content from unauthorized access or digital vandalism. Synchronously, legislative frameworks must be codified to define the legal status of digital heritage assets, establishing clear protocols for the licensing and ethical distribution of three-dimensional archaeological reconstructions. Such regulatory clarity provides the necessary foundation for public-private partnerships, enabling cultural authorities to attract sustainable foreign investment while maintaining stringent control over national intellectual property rights. Moreover, establishing standardized performance indicators—such as the ratio of site-specific visitor traffic to physical wear indices—will allow authorities to quantify the efficacy of virtual experiences in mitigating tourist-induced degradation. These quantitative benchmarks should be supplemented by qualitative visitor satisfaction surveys, which evaluate the educational impact and emotional connection fostered by augmented reality narrative experiences. By integrating these metrics into a comprehensive monitoring dashboard, stakeholders can iteratively refine content delivery to optimize visitor flow, thereby dispersing foot traffic away from high-risk structural areas. Furthermore, these outcomes should be benchmarked against longitudinal heritage conservation standards to ensure that visitor growth remains commensurate

with the site's carrying capacity and structural safety thresholds. By establishing these quantitative and qualitative parameters, heritage managers can effectively transition from reactive maintenance strategies to proactive, data-driven stewardship that prioritizes site longevity alongside the enrichment of the visitor experience. This framework ultimately positions digital infrastructure not merely as an interpretive layer, but as a critical conservation tool that dynamically adjusts to the evolving equilibrium between archaeological preservation and sustainable site accessibility. By prioritizing adaptive management, Syrian authorities can cultivate a digital ecosystem that safeguards historical integrity while simultaneously fostering a resilient, knowledge-based economy within the tourism sector.

13. Conclusion

The integration of immersive technologies within Syria's cultural landscape represents a transformative paradigm shift, transitioning heritage management from static preservation toward a dynamic, digitally-augmented model of resilience.

By harmonizing technical innovation with rigorous ethical safeguards, this framework fosters a sustainable synergy that honors the depth of the nation's past while securing its accessibility for future generations. This strategic synthesis ultimately positions Syria as a global precedent for the deployment of digital solutions in post-conflict heritage contexts, offering a scalable blueprint for managing archaeological assets under conditions of extreme environmental and socio-political complexity. Ultimately, such an approach reinforces the agency of local communities as primary stewards of their own history, ensuring that technological progress remains deeply rooted in the preservation of national identity. Future scholarly inquiry should therefore prioritize longitudinal assessments of how these immersive platforms influence local social cohesion and public engagement with tangible heritage across diverse Syrian demographics. Additionally, empirical research must address the interoperability of these platforms with emerging international digital archival standards to ensure the long-term portability and archival integrity of reconstructed archaeological data.

Finally, bridging the gap between cutting-edge virtualization and on-the-ground socioeconomic revitalization will necessitate interdisciplinary collaboration between digital humanists, site conservators, and local policy architects to ensure these technologies provide equitable benefits to the communities residing within proximity to these cultural landmarks. By fostering such collaborative ecosystems, the digitization of Syrian heritage acts as a catalyst for sustainable development, transforming ancient sites into enduring intellectual hubs that empower local populations while reinforcing the global relevance of the nation's cultural narrative. Consequently, this holistic approach demonstrates that the path to safeguarding antiquity lies not in isolation, but in the intelligent application of digital media to revitalize the socio-economic vitality of historically significant locales. Moving forward, the successful implementation of these initiatives will depend upon sustained international cooperation and the capacity to adapt digital strategies to the rapidly shifting technological landscape of the Middle East. Ongoing commitment to capacity-building among local technical specialists will remain the definitive factor in ensuring that these digital infrastructures provide lasting utility rather than ephemeral solutions. Institutionalizing these efforts through persistent academic and cultural exchange programs will further solidify Syria's role as a leader in innovative heritage management. Ultimately, the successful integration of these digital tools serves as a blueprint for post-conflict resilience, demonstrating how technological stewardship can restore cultural continuity while fostering sustainable economic growth. This strategic transition ensures that the reconstruction of cultural narratives becomes a collaborative endeavor that transcends traditional borders, effectively anchoring Syria's heritage within a global digital consciousness. In this way, the strategic deployment of immersive media effectively bridges the gap between historical preservation and modern socio-economic recovery, transforming site management into a proactive instrument of national reconciliation. Such initiatives underscore the capacity of digital humanities to facilitate restorative justice, utilizing virtual archives as neutral ground for collaborative historical inquiry and the peaceful recontextualization of contested sites. Through these digital interventions, the synthesis of archaeological accuracy and immersive storytelling transforms fragmented landscapes into coherent sites of collective memory.

These technological frameworks thereby transcend mere technological frameworks thereby transcend mere aesthetic augmentation, establishing a participatory infrastructure that empowers stakeholders to reclaim narrative agency over their cultural topography. Furthermore, the democratization of access provided by these immersive platforms effectively mitigates geographical and physical barriers, ensuring that displaced populations remain intrinsically connected to their ancestral sites regardless of their current displacement status. By leveraging blockchain-verified provenance for digital assets, these initiatives also secure the long-term intellectual property rights of local communities, preventing the commodification or misappropriation of sensitive cultural data in the global virtual marketplace. Moreover, the systematic implementation of decentralized pedagogical frameworks allows for the continuous updating of site interpretations, enabling a nuanced dialogue between academic historiography and lived community experiences. This iterative model of knowledge creation ensures that the digital record remains a living repository, capable of evolving as archaeological research matures and sociocultural perspectives shift within the region. Consequently, the integration of crowdsourced metadata and local narratives into these digital archives facilitates a more inclusive historical discourse, effectively decolonizing the interpretation of archaeological sites. This methodological shift promotes a pluralistic representation of Syria's past, fostering a shared sense of ownership among diverse stakeholders who were previously marginalized from official heritage discourses. By embedding these diverse perspectives into the digital infrastructure, site curators can transform static monuments into dynamic archives that reflect the complex, polyvocal nature of Syrian identity. This evolution toward digital polyvocality necessitates robust cybersecurity protocols to protect sensitive data against the risks of cultural erasure or malicious data manipulation in volatile contexts. Furthermore, decentralized networks may help mitigate these risks by distributing copies of archival records and maintaining transparent, tamper-evident histories of changes, although such systems cannot by themselves prevent censorship or guarantee the accuracy of the material they preserve. Their implementation should therefore be paired with clear protocols for validating contributions, managing access to sensitive information,

and assigning responsibility for long-term data stewardship, particularly given the institutional and intellectual-property challenges associated with heritage data management. In this way, distributed preservation can strengthen the resilience of Syria's digital heritage archives while ensuring that their integrity depends on accountable governance as well as technical safeguards. Beyond these technical frameworks, establishing a transnational consortium of archaeologists, archivists, and technologists is essential to standardize the metadata schemas required for cross-platform interoperability and long-term digital preservation. Such an interdisciplinary collaborative framework will facilitate the harmonization of disparate archival practices, thereby ensuring that digital reconstructions remain accessible to future researchers and local stakeholders alike. By fostering these standardized protocols, Syria can effectively align its preservation efforts with international digital humanities benchmarks, thereby securing the longevity of its cultural data within global research networks.

References

- [1] Abass, M. N., & Zohry, M. (2022). Mixed reality drama towards metaverse technology in smart hotels: An Exploratory Study on Egyptian Hotels Evidence from Guests' Perspectives. *Journal of Association of Arab Universities for Tourism and Hospitality*, 23(2), 130–154. <https://doi.org/10.21608/jaauth.2022.177500.1411>
- [2] Abdul-Jabbar, S. N., & Alwehab, A. A. (2023). Augmented Reality's Role in Highlighting Historical Heritage / Kirkuk Citadel. *Journal of Engineering*, 29(12), 104–122. <https://doi.org/10.31026/j.eng.2023.12.07>
- [3] Abdulrahman, R., & Ismaeel, E. H. (2025). 4D Representation of the Built Heritage Post-Conflict: Interactive Modeling of the Qattanin Mosque in Old Mosul. 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.24086/icace2025/paper.1640>
- [4] Abedalrhman, K. (2003). Accounting sciences. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20469743>
- [5] Abedalrhman, K. (2004a). Modern economic feasibility study. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20477591>
- [6] Abedalrhman, K. (2004b). Modern management sciences. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20469957>

- [7] Abedalrhman, K. (2005). Applied economic statistics. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20477617>
- [8] Abedalrhman, K. (2006). Assessing Country Risk in Cross-Border Investment: A Professional Due Diligence Framework for Political, Sovereign, Currency, Legal, and Institutional Risk. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20576268>
- [9] Abedalrhman, K. (2007). Choosing Exchange-Rate Regimes Under Global Financial Volatility: A Comparative Framework for Fixed, Floating, and Managed Currency Systems. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20576529>
- [10] Abedalrhman, K. (2008). Commodity Shocks and Sovereign Risk in the Global Economy: A Risk-Governed Framework for Importers, Exporters, and Financial Stability. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20576723>
- [11] Abedalrhman, K. (2009). Foreign Direct Investment Quality and Economic Development: Beyond the Quantity of Capital Inflows. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20773217>
- [12] Abedalrhman, K. (2010). Predicting Emerging-Market Financial Stress: An Early Warning Framework for Currency, Banking, Sovereign Debt, and Balance-of-Payments Crises. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20804266>
- [13] Abedalrhman, K. (2011). Managing Global Capital Flow Volatility: A Risk-Governed Framework for Exchange-Rate Stability, Sovereign Risk, and Emerging-Market Financial Resilience. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20776593>
- [14] Abedalrhman, K. (2012). Global Monetary Tightening and Emerging-Market Stability: Inflation, Interest Rates, Capital Flows, and the Transmission of Major Central Bank Policy. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20776766>
- [15] Abedalrhman, K. (2013). Sovereign Debt Sustainability Under Global Monetary Tightening: A Risk-Governed Framework for Emerging-Market Resilience, Refinancing Risk, and Debt-Crisis Prevention. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20804473>
- [16] Abedalrhman, K. (2014). Identifying Economies at Risk: A Balance-of-Payments Vulnerability Framework for External Adjustment, Reserve Adequacy, and Emerging-Market Stability. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20779165>
- [17] Abedalrhman, K. (2015). Due Diligence in International Investment Frameworks, Checklists, and Professional Methods for Cross-Border Transaction Review. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20477666>
- [18] Abedalrhman, K. (2016). International Finance and Global Capital Flows: A Risk-Governed Framework for Foreign Direct Investment, Exchange-Rate Stability, Sovereign Risk, and Emerging-Market Financial Resilience. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20789525>
- [19] Abedalrhman, K. (2018). Managing Financial Openness Under Crisis Risk: A Comparative Framework for Capital Controls, Macroprudential Policy, and Emerging-Market Stability. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20789612>
- [20] Abedalrhman, K. (2022). Digital Transformation of Clean Energy Sector: Solar Energy as a Driver of Sustainable Business Transformation. ResearchGate/Zenodo record. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.33953.98402>
- [21] Abedalrhman, K. (2023). AI Credit Scoring for n SMEs: A Risk-Governed FinTech Framework for Collateral-Free Finance, Inclusion, and Post-Conflict Recovery. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20804672>
- [22] Abedalrhman, K. (2024a). Integrative analysis of FinTech innovations in industry: Enhancements and challenges. *International Journal of Engineering and Management Research*, 14(5), 31-37. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13937989>
- [23] Abedalrhman, K. (2024b). Assessing agricultural health through FinTech data: An analytical approach. *Applied Science and Biotechnology Journal for Advanced Research*, 3(6), 22-39. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14523484>
- [24] Abedalrhman, K. (2024c). Revolutionizing tourism: The impact of FinTech and digital innovations on the tourism industry. *Social Science Journal for Advanced Research*, 4(5), 118-124. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13927626>
- [25] Abedalrhman, K. (2025a). The Digital Lira Initiative: A financial technology framework for Syria's economic recovery. *International Journal of Engineering and Management Research*, 15(2), 97-107. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15362505>

- [26] Abedalrhman, K. (2025b). Disruptive financial technologies and their potential to transform Syria's financial sector. SSRN Electronic Journal. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5394161>
- [27] Abedalrhman, K. (2025 C). The Buy Now, Pay Later FinTech Model in Syria: A Risk-Governed Framework for Financial Inclusion, Consumer Protection, Islamic Compliance, and Post-Conflict Economic Recovery. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20517906>
- [28] Abedalrhman, K. (2026 a). Investment in Syria's Post-Conflict Recovery A Risk-Governed Framework for Productive Capital, Digital Finance, and Inclusive Reconstruction (Version 1). Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20499126>
- [29] Abedalrhman, K. (2026 b). Investment in Syria's Post-Conflict Recovery A Risk-Governed Framework for Productive Capital, Digital Finance, and Inclusive Reconstruction (Version 1). Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20499126>
- [30] Abedalrhman, K. (2026 c). FinTech as Cross-Sector Digital Infrastructure: A Risk-Governed Framework for Inclusive Finance, Productive Transformation, and Emerging-Market Development. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20499464>
- [31] Abedalrhman, K. (2026 d). Emerging Technologies for Enhanced Industrial Efficiency: Frameworks and Strategies for Sustainable Manufacturing and Supply Chain Optimization - A Study on the Syrian Industry Context. In Applied Science and Biotechnology Journal for Advanced Research. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.31033/ABJAR/5.3.2026.117>
- [32] Abedalrhman, K. (2026 E). Accelerating Digital Transformation: A Strategic Roadmap for Enterprises Adopting AI, Cloud Computing, and Data-driven Solutions in the Digital Era - An Analytical Study within the Syrian Context. In International Journal of Engineering and Management Research. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.31033/IJEMR/16.2.2026.1907>
- [33] Abedalrhman, K. (2026 f). Digital-Economy FDI for Post-Conflict Reconstruction: A Risk-Governed Framework for Investment Quality, Institutional Trust, and Technology Transfer in Syria. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20931909>
- [34] Abedalrhman, K. (2026 g) 'The Digital Lira for Syria Monetary Reconstruction: A Risk-Governed CBDC Framework for Financial Trust, Inclusion, and Stability in Fragile Economies'. Zenodo. doi:10.5281/zenodo.20932381.
- [35] Abedalrhman, K. (2026 i). From Cash Informality to Digital Formalization: A Risk-Governed Policy Framework for Payments, Taxation, Banking, and SME Development in Syria. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20978108>
- [36] Abedalrhman, K. (2026 j). Islamic FinTech for Syria's Reconstruction Finance: A Risk-Governed Framework for Sukuk, Digital Payments, Waqf, and Sharia-Compliant Investment. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20978703>
- [37] Abedalrhman, K. (2026 k). Mobilizing Syrian Diaspora Capital for Reconstruction: A Risk-Governed Digital Trust Infrastructure and Investment Platform Framework. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20980089>
- [38] Abedalrhman, K. (2026 l). Rebuilding Financial Trust Through Digital Finance: A Risk-Governed Framework for Syria's Post-Conflict Economic Recovery. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21014255>
- [39] Abedalrhman, K. (2026 m). Smart Tourism Finance for Syria's Recovery: A Risk-Governed Framework for Digital Booking, Cross-Border Payments, Investment Readiness, and Hospitality SME Development. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21014397>
- [40] Abedalrhman, K. (2026). Tokenized Reconstruction Finance for Syria: A Risk-Governed Framework for Infrastructure Assets, Sukuk, Investor Trust, and Post-Conflict Recovery. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21014586>
- [41] Abedalrhman, K., & Alzaydi, A. (2024a). Integration of FinTech applications in public health strategies for sustainable development. International Journal of Engineering and Management Research, 14(4), 122-130. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13771503>
- [42] Abedalrhman, K., & Alzaydi, A. (2024b). Saudi Arabia's strategic leap: Leveraging AI and robotics to transform workforce management and economic development. Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports, 18(12), 111-125. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajarr/2024/v18i12810>

- [43] Abedalrhman, K., & Alzaydi, A. (2025a). Digital innovations in healthcare: The role of FinTech in improving services and patient outcomes: Insights from the Syrian healthcare sector. SSRN working paper/preprint record.
- [44] Abedalrhman, K., & Alzaydi, A. (2025b). Precision Agriculture 4.0: Leveraging IoT, AI, and robotics for sustainable farming: Evidence from agricultural practices in Syria. *Applied Science and Biotechnology Journal for Advanced Research*, 4(3), 7-27. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15568353>
- [45] Abedalrhman, K., Alzaydi, A., & Shiban, Y. (2024). The convergence of AI and FinTech in shaping future urban landscape planning. *Advances in Research*, 25(5), 337-352. <https://doi.org/10.9734/air/2024/v25i51166>
- [46] Abedalrhman, K., Alzaydi, A., Nikolov, R., & Denchev, S. (2025). The intersection of FinTech and e-mobility: Advancing sustainable innovation in electric vehicle adoption. SSRN working paper. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5209238>
- [47] Abukarki, H. J. (2025). Beyond Preservation: A Survey of the Role of Virtual Reality in Experiencing and Understanding Historical Architectural Spaces. *Buildings*, 15(9), 1531. <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings15091531>
- [48] Adewojo, A. A. (2024). Digital preservation of endangered cultural heritage in conflict zones. *Scientific Journal "Library Science Record Studies Informology,"* 20–32. <https://doi.org/10.63009/lrsi/4.2024.20>
- [49] Ahmed, N. (2024). Tourism Impact on the Cultural Heritage of Countries in the Middle East. *Journal of Applied Geographical Studies*, 3(1), 41–53. <https://doi.org/10.47941/jags.1623>
- [50] Akyol, G., & Avci, A. B. (2025). Digital Twins in Heritage Conservation and Visitor Engagement: Comparative Case Studies from Four Historic Sites. *Periodica Polytechnica Architecture*. <https://doi.org/10.3311/ppar.40513>
- [51] Alhyari, N., Marar, R. W., & Tedmori, S. (2026). Enhancing Cultural Learning Through Virtual Reality: A Case Study of the 'Ain Ghazal Statues. *Journal of Information Technology Education Research*, 25, 5. <https://doi.org/10.28945/5722>
- [52] Aljaafreh, A., Garaj, V., & Choi, Y. (2023). Using a digital participatory approach to facilitate inclusivity in Jordanian heritage sites: Stakeholders' requirements and a proposed system. *Architecture Papers of the Faculty of Architecture and Design STU*, 28(3), 3–9. <https://doi.org/10.2478/alfa-2023-0014>
- [53] Almasoodi, M. F. (2024). The possibility of applying metaverse in cultural heritage tourism: A case study on the ancient city of Babylon. *Tourism and Hospitality Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14673584241308167>
- [54] Alotaibi, E. K., Alafi, K. K., Shkeer, A. S., Ismaeel, B., Ali, A., & Khanfar, I. A. (2026). Assessing the impact of sustainable tourism practices on Petra and Wadi Rum. *Heritage and Sustainable Development ISSN 2712-0554*, 8(1), 499–512. <https://doi.org/10.37868/hsd.v8i1.1685>
- [55] Alsharif, A. H., Isa, S. M., & Alqudah, M. N. (2024). Smart Tourism, Hospitality, and Destination: A Systematic Review and Future Directions. *Journal of Tourism and Services*, 15(29), 72–110. <https://doi.org/10.29036/jots.v15i29.746>
- [56] Alzaydi, A., & Abedalrhman, K. (2024a). Strategic integration of AI and FinTech innovations in renewable energy management. *International Journal of Engineering and Management Research*, 14(5), 12–18. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13888837>
- [57] Alzaydi, A., & Abedalrhman, K. (2025). Innovation and infrastructure: Charting Syria's tech-driven future. SSRN working paper. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5338026>
- [58] Alzaydi, A., Abedalrhman, K., Alotaibi, I., & Alessa, F. (2024a). Advancing autonomous vehicle navigation: The role of AI and sensor fusion in enhancing safety and efficiency. *Advances in Research*, 25(5), 309–324. <https://doi.org/10.9734/air/2024/v25i51164>
- [59] Alzaydi, A., Abedalrhman, K., Ismail, M., & Nurhaliza, S. (2024b). Human-robot interaction in Saudi Arabia's e-mobility transition. *Social Science Journal for Advanced Research*, 4(5), 74–96. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13853329>
- [60] Alzaydi, A., Abedalrhman, K., Nurhaliza, S., & Ismail, M. (2024c). Enhancing cyber defense mechanisms for genomic data using artificial intelligence and machine learning. *Applied Science and Biotechnology Journal for Advanced Research*, 3(5), 20–30. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13852606>

- [61] Alzaydi, A., Alsheghri, A., Antar, M., Tariq, H., Abedalrhman, K., & Sarhan, A. A. D. (2025). Biodegradable soft robotics for minimally invasive medical devices: A comprehensive review. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-025-10927-y>
- [62] Antón, D., Amaro-Mellado, J.-L., Rico-Delgado, F., & Díaz-Cañete, P. (2024). Exploring the accessibility of deformed digital heritage models. In Nottingham Trent University's Institutional Repository (Nottingham Trent Repository) (pp. 275–302). Nottingham Trent University. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-443-16001-1.00011-5>
- [63] Anwar, M. S., Yang, J. C., Frnda, J., Choi, A., Baghaei, N., & Ali, M. (2025). Metaverse and XR for cultural heritage education: applications, standards, architecture, and technological insights for enhanced immersive experience. *Virtual Reality*, 29(2). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-025-01126-z>
- [64] Ariya, P., Wongwan, N., Worragin, P., Intawong, K., & Puritat, K. (2025). Immersive realities in museums: evaluating the impact of VR, VR360, and MR on visitor presence, engagement and motivation. *Virtual Reality*, 29(3). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-025-01201-5>
- [65] Ashok, M. B., & Thomas, J. (2024). Harnessing Digital Technologies to Enrich Tourist Experiences at Cultural Heritage Sites. In *Advances in hospitality, tourism and the services industry (AHTSI) book series* (pp. 153–168). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-9636-0.ch007>
- [66] Avlonitou, C., & Papadaki, E. (2024). Using extended reality technologies in modern museums. *Arts & Communication*, 3(1), 3428. <https://doi.org/10.36922/ac.3428>
- [67] Aziz, O., Farooq, M. S., Khelifi, A., & Shoaib, M. (2024a). Revitalizing Cultural Heritage: Designing Attractive Virtual Museum In The Metaverse. In *Research Square*. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-4282846/v1>
- [68] Aziz, O., Farooq, M. S., Khelifi, A., & Shoaib, M. (2024b). Archaeometa: leveraging blockchain for secure and scalable virtual museums in the metaverse. *Heritage Science*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40494-024-01416-w>
- [69] Azizbekyan, H., Cobb, P. J., & Cobb, E. (2025). The Apple Vision Pro: Useful Mixed/Augmented Reality (MR/AR) Headset for Archaeology or Not Quite There Yet? *Advances in Archaeological Practice*, 13(2), 346–355. <https://doi.org/10.1017/aap.2025.7>
- [70] Ballı, T., Peker, H., Pişkin, Ş., & Yetkin, E. F. (2025). RESTORATIVE: Improving Accessibility to Cultural Heritage with AI-Assisted Virtual Reality. *Digital Presentation and Preservation of Cultural and Scientific Heritage*, 15, 65–72. <https://doi.org/10.55630/dipp.2025.15.6>
- [71] Balzani, R., Barzaghi, S., Bitelli, G., Bonifazi, F., Bordignon, A., Cipriani, L., Colitti, S., Collina, F., Daquino, M., Fabbri, F., Fanini, B., Fantini, F., Ferdani, D., Fiorini, G., Formia, E. M., Forte, A., Giacomini, F., Girelli, V. A., Gualandi, B., ... Vittuari, L. (2023). Saving temporary exhibitions in virtual environments: The Digital Renaissance of Ulisse Aldrovandi – Acquisition and digitisation of cultural heritage objects. *Digital Applications in Archaeology and Cultural Heritage*, 32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.daach.2023.e00309>
- [72] Banfi, F., Cazzani, A., & Liu, W. (2025). XR Technologies for Enhanced Cultural Engagement: from HBIM to a Comparative Analysis of VR and WebVR Development Tools. ~The œinternational Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences/International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, 95–102. <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-xxviii-m-9-2025-95-2025>
- [73] Barberis, L., Arena, L., Kasapakis, V., Malakuczi, V., & Chatziannakis, I. (2026). Mediating heritage through design: Participatory approaches to post-digital transformation. *Proceedings of DRS*. <https://doi.org/10.21606/drs.2026.2338>
- [74] Barhoumi, N. E., & Hajji, R. (2024). HBIM and Extended Reality for Cultural Mediation of Historical Heritage: A Review. ~The œinternational Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences/International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, 125–132. <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-xxviii-4-w9-2024-125-2024>

- [75] Basheer, S., Farooq, S., Hassan, V., Malik, Y. M., & Reshi, M. A. (2023). Augmented Reality and Virtual Reality in Cultural Heritage Tourism Enhancing Visitor Experiences. In *Advances in hospitality, tourism and the services industry (AHTSI) book series* (pp. 13–34). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-9957-3.ch002>
- [76] Bideci, M., & Bideci, C. (2024). Moving Pilgrimage into the Virtual Realm: Understanding the Advantages and Complexities of Immersive Technologies. In *CABI religious tourism and pilgrimage series*. (pp. 82–93). CAB International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1079/9781800623675.0007>
- [77] Bisio, A., Aparicio-Martinez, P., Ramadan, I., Alcalde-Llargo, J. M., Zingoni, A., & Yeguas-Bolívar, E. (2025). Enhancing Accessibility and Learning: the History of Nursing Through Virtual Reality. 530–535. <https://doi.org/10.1109/metroxraine66377.2025.11340517>
- [78] Bonis, M. D., Nguyen, H. N., & Bourdot, P. (2025). A Comparative Study of Virtual and Mixed Reality Blended Environments for Interacting with the Physical World During Virtual Guided Visits. In *SPIRE - Sciences Po Institutional REpository*. <https://doi.org/10.2312/dh.20253304>
- [79] Boray, yahia, Alkai, R., & Fetais, N. (2025). Falconry Heritage in Mixed Reality: An Interactive Experience for Digital-Native Tourists. 333–337. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3706370.3731702>
- [80] Boulakal, F. (2025). Musées immersifs et responsabilité sociale : repenser l'accessibilité au savoir à l'ère numérique. In *SPIRE - Sciences Po Institutional REpository*. <https://theses.hal.science/tel-05460175>
- [81] Brestov, A. L., & Merdev, M. (2025). Post-industrial cultural tourism: The Trans-Siberian railway and the reuse of former industrial sites in Siberia. *Journal of Tourism Theory and Research*, 11(2), 65–74. <https://doi.org/10.24288/jttr.1724843>
- [82] Bretos, M. A., Ibáñez-Sánchez, S., & Orús, C. (2023). Applying virtual reality and augmented reality to the tourism experience: a comparative literature review. *Spanish Journal of Marketing - ESIC*, 28(3), 287–309. <https://doi.org/10.1108/sjme-03-2023-0052>
- [83] Brzezicki, F., Ansara, R. R., Awad, R., Quintero, M. S., Abdulac, S., Lavenir, M.-L., Tung, B., Ristevski, J., & Pelletier, M. (2019). Project ANQA: Presenting the Built Heritage of Damascus, Syria Through Digitally-Assisted Storytelling. *ISPRS Annals of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 31–38. <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-annals-iv-2-w6-31-2019>
- [84] Buhalis, D., & Karatay, N. (2022). Mixed Reality (MR) for Generation Z in Cultural Heritage Tourism Towards Metaverse (pp. 16–27). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-94751-4_2
- [85] Buhalis, D., Lin, M. S., & Leung, D. (2022). Metaverse as a driver for customer experience and value co-creation: implications for hospitality and tourism management and marketing. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 35(2), 701–716. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijchm-05-2022-0631>
- [86] Buragohain, D., Meng, Y., Deng, C., Li, Q., & Chaudhary, S. (2024). Digitalizing cultural heritage through metaverse applications: challenges, opportunities, and strategies. *Heritage Science*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40494-024-01403-1>
- [87] Caponi, M., Ruggieri, C., Stefano, F. D., Nespeca, R., & Pierdicca, R. (2026). From Digital Reconstruction to Immersive Education: Virtual Reality Cultural Heritage Experience. ~The *international Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences/International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 73–80. <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-xxviii-2-w12-2026-73-2026>
- [88] Casado-Claro, M.-F., & Mattera, M. (2021). Mixed Realities as the New Reality in Tourism. In *Advances in hospitality, tourism and the services industry (AHTSI) book series* (pp. 197–220). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-7239-9.ch010>
- [89] Chang, C., Kuo, H., & Du, Z. (2023). The role of digital literacy in augmented, virtual, and mixed reality in popular science education: a review study and an educational framework development. *Virtual Reality*, 27(3), 2461–2479. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-023-00817-9>

- [90] Chang, Y., Ni, Y., & Zhang, X. (2025). Virtual Reality in Cultural Tourism. *International Journal of Web-Based Learning and Teaching Technologies*, 20(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.4018/ijwlwt.387387>
- [91] Chaudhary, N., Singh, R., Thakur, S., & Thakur, P. (2024). Restructuring Lost Worlds. In *Advances in hospitality, tourism and the services industry (AHTSI) book series* (pp. 425–444). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-7898-4.ch020>
- [92] Chen, G., Tong, Y.-X., Wu, Y., Wu, Y.-L., Liu, Z. Q., & Huang, J. (2025). Reconstruction of Cultural Heritage in Virtual Space Following Disasters. *Buildings*, 15(12), 2040. <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings15122040>
- [93] Chen, Z., & Xu, H. (2025). A Systematic Review of the Application of Immersive Technologies in Cultural Tourism Marketing. *Open Journal of Business and Management*, 13(6), 3764–3789. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojbm.2025.136203>
- [94] Chhabra, M., Roy, B., Gupta, Y., Kumar, A., & Yaja, M. (2025). Mapping the transformative impact of technology on experiential tourism: a systematic literature review. *Journal of Tourism Futures*, 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jtf-10-2024-0222>
- [95] Chrysanthakopoulou, A., Chrysikopoulos, T., Arvanitis, G., & Μουστάκας, K. (2025). Reimagining Historical Exploration: Multi-User Mixed Reality Systems for Cultural Heritage Sites. *Applied Sciences*, 15(5), 2854. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app15052854>
- [96] Chu, Y.-C., Lin, C.-C., Fu, K.-L., & Chen, H. (2024). Design and Evaluation of Mixed Reality Application for Historical Sites Guide. 172–174. <https://doi.org/10.1109/icas160819.2024.10547905>
- [97] Clini, P., Angeloni, R., D'Alessio, M., Coppetta, L., & Galli, I. (2025). Digital Representation and AI-driven Virtual Experience for Historic Houses. The Case Study of Borgo Storico Seghetti Panichi. *The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences/International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 337–344. <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-xxviii-m-9-2025-337-2025>
- [98] Clini, P., Nespeca, R., Angeloni, R., & Ferretti, U. (2025). Above-Ground and Underground Architectural Heritage Documentation: A Scan-to-HBIM-to-XR Approach for Historic Centres. *The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences/International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 345–351. <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-xxviii-m-9-2025-345-2025>
- [99] Dagnaw, G. A., Capuano, R., & Muccini, H. (2026). Digital Twins for Cultural Heritage: A Systematic Analysis of the State of the Art. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 58(9), 1–35. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3793541>
- [100] Dayoub, B., Yang, P., Omran, S., Zhang, Q., & Dayoub, A. (2024). The Role of Metaverse in Silk Road's Tourism: International Review for Spatial Planning and Sustainable Development, 12(2), 63–78. https://doi.org/10.14246/irspsd.12.2_63
- [101] Dhillon, P. K. S., & Tinmaz, H. (2024). Immersive realities: a comprehensive guide from virtual reality to metaverse. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 12(1), 29–45. <https://doi.org/10.17478/jegys.1406024>
- [102] Dianggorobles, S. Y., & Eddyono, F. (2026). Immersive digital experiences: Fostering sustainable practices and positive perceptions among Gen Z tourists in village tourism. *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science* (2147-4478), 14(9), 12–22. <https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v14i9.4609>
- [103] Dieb, R., Alsalloum, A., & Webb, N. (2024). Interactive 360° media for the dissemination of endangered world heritage sites: the ancient city of Palmyra in Syria. *Built Heritage*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43238-024-00126-3>
- [104] Doğan, H., & Çakıcı, N. (2025). Evaluating Cultural Heritage Preservation Through Augmented Reality: Insights from the Kaisareia-AR Application. *Architecture*, 5(3), 59. <https://doi.org/10.3390/architecture5030059>
- [105] Elshahawy, M., Magdy, S., & Sharaf, N. (2023). ARTour: an augmented reality collaborative experience for enhancing tourism. *Information Technology & Tourism*, 25(4), 549–563. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40558-023-00264-x>

- [106] Eve, S., & Gillings, M. (2023). More than Modal? Exploring Affect, Affordance, Invitation and Solicitation. In *Quantitative methods in the humanities and social sciences* (pp. 9–36). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-23133-9_2
- [107] Fanani, A. Z., Hastuti, K., Syarif, A. M., & Harsanto, P. W. (2021). Challenges in Developing Virtual Reality, Augmented Reality and Mixed-Reality Applications: Case Studies on A 3D-Based Tangible Cultural Heritage Conservation. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 12(11). <https://doi.org/10.14569/ijacsa.2021.0121126>
- [108] Farella, E. M., Rigon, S., Remondino, F., Stan, A., Ioannidis, G., Münster, S., Medici, M., Maietti, F., & Sanchez, A. (2024). Methods, Data and Tools for Facilitating a 3D Cultural Heritage Space. *The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences/International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 197–204. <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-xxviii-2-w4-2024-197-2024>
- [109] Fascia, R., Barbieri, F., Gaspari, F., Ioli, F., & Pinto, L. (2024). From 3D Survey to Digital Reality of a Complex Architecture: A Digital Workflow for Cultural Heritage Promotion. *The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences/International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 205–212. <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-xxviii-2-w4-2024-205-2024>
- [110] Forte, A., Alkhatib, Y. J., Bitelli, G., Malinverni, E. S., & Pierdicca, R. (2024). Geomatics and metaverse for lost heritage sites documentation and dissemination: the case study of Palmyra Roman Theatre (Syria). *Virtual Archaeology Review*, 16(32), 85–99. <https://doi.org/10.4995/var.2024.21662>
- [111] Gao, C. F. (2025). Optimization Design of Urban Tourism Systems Based on MR Interactive Technology and Artificial Intelligence. *Applied and Computational Engineering*, 204(1), 139–144. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2755-2721/2025.ld29618>
- [112] Gao, Y. (2025a). Virtual Reality Museums: Redefining Engagement and Understanding in Cultural Heritage. *Advances in Education Humanities and Social Science Research*, 13(1), 532. <https://doi.org/10.56028/aehtssr.13.1.532.2025>
- [113] Gao, Y. (2025b). Virtual Reality Museums: Redefining Engagement and Understanding in Cultural Heritage. 224–229. <https://doi.org/10.56198/94ns0c38>
- [114] Gaspari, F., Ioli, F., Barbieri, F., Rivieri, C., Dondi, M., & Pinto, L. (2023). Rediscovering Cultural Heritage Sites by Interactive 3D Exploration: A Practical Review of Open-Source WebGL Tools. *The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences/International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 661–668. <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-xxviii-m-2-2023-661-2023>
- [115] Gonçalves, I. O., Santos, L. M., Sousa, B. B., & Santos, J. D. (2026). Mixed Reality and Its Content Developed to Enhance the Tourist Experience. *Tourism and Hospitality*, 7(1), 16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/tourhosp7010016>
- [116] Gonçalves, I. O., Santos, L., Sousa, B. B., & Santos, J. D. (2025). Mixed Reality and Its Content Developed to Enhance the Tourist Experience. In *Preprints.org*. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202511.1414.v1>
- [117] Guo, X., Wang, D.-C., Lo, T. T., & Huang, X. (2024). Historical architecture pedagogy meets virtual technologies: A comparative case study. *Education and Information Technologies*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-12420-1>
- [118] Guo, Y., Liu, L., Huang, W., Shen, M., Yi, X., Zhang, J., & Lu, S. (2024). Extending X-reality technologies to digital twin in cultural heritage risk management: a comparative evaluation from the perspective of situation awareness. *Heritage Science*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40494-024-01363-6>
- [119] Halife, H., Wael, A., & Chikhou, R. (2025). Early Social Recovery Through Syrian Cultural Heritage & its Role in Enhancing National Identity & Coexistence. *International Journal for Humanitarian Studies*, 6(2), 26–35. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijhs_202562_26

- [120] Han, S., Yoon, J., & Kwon, J. (2021). Impact of Experiential Value of Augmented Reality: The Context of Heritage Tourism. *Sustainability*, 13(8), 4147. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13084147>
- [121] Hendrick, A. L., Jali, S. K., Bandan, M. I., Lim, P.-C., Wang, Y. C., Hendrick, A. D., Ridzuan, N. F., Siregar, J. H., & Akbar, R. I. (2025). Extending Heritage Tourism Beyond Reality with Extended Reality Technologies: A Systematic Literature Review. 129–134. <https://doi.org/10.1109/asip65980.2025.00031>
- [122] Hutson, J., & Hutson, P. (2023). Perspective Chapter: Museums and the Metaverse – Emerging Technologies to Promote Inclusivity and Engagement. In *IntechOpen eBooks*. IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.110044>
- [123] Innocente, C., Ulrich, L., Moos, S., & Vezzetti, E. (2023). A framework study on the use of immersive XR technologies in the cultural heritage domain. *Journal of Cultural Heritage*, 62, 268–283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.culher.2023.06.001>
- [124] Jalil, M. F., Ali, A., & Wang, M. (2026). Augmented reality adoption and the digital transformation of eco-smart tourism ventures: exploring the mediating role of tourist engagement. *Scientific Reports*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-026-53572-7>
- [125] Jassim, A. H., Hasan, S. A., Ebraheem, A. K., Ebraheem, M. A., AL-Rawe, M. K., Alkinani, A. S., & Almosawi, F. (2025). A Comprehensive Framework for Heritage Site Management: Challenges and Strategies for Sustainable Preservation. *International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning*, 20(4), 1525–1533. <https://doi.org/10.18280/ijstdp.200415>
- [126] Jia, S., Hengxuan, O., Martinez, S. D., & Lu, L. (2023). When “Old” Meets “New”: Unlocking the Future of Innovative Technology Implementation in Heritage Tourism. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, 49(3), 640–661. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10963480231205767>
- [127] K, L. (2025a). Crowdsourced Cultural Heritage Preservation: Evaluating the Reliability and Impact of Crowdsourced Data and Local Community Input in Documenting Heritage Loss During Conflicts. Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research). <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18654660>
- [128] K, L. (2025b). Crowdsourced Cultural Heritage Preservation: Evaluating the Reliability and Impact of Crowdsourced Data and Local Community Input in Documenting Heritage Loss During Conflicts. *International Journal of History and Archaeology Research Studies (IJHARS)*, 2(4), 119. <https://doi.org/10.63090/ijhars/3049.1622.0025>
- [129] Ke, P., Lin, G., & Hui, Y.-F. (2025). Immersive Virtual Reality Design for the Architectural Heritage of Rovigo, Italy: Digital Preservation and Interactive Exploration Based on Embodied Interaction. *The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences/International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 659–666. <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-xxviii-m-9-2025-659-2025>
- [130] Kersten, T. P., Marsmann, M., & Timm, F. (2026). The disappeared Castle – The Return of the Horneburg in Virtual and Augmented Reality. *The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences/International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 207–214. <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-xxviii-2-w12-2026-207-2026>
- [131] Kim, I., & Lee, H. F. (2025). Documentation and Preservation of Cultural Heritage Submerged by Dam Construction Using Digital Technology. *The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences/International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 693–698. <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-xxviii-m-9-2025-693-2025>
- [132] Korkut, E. H., & Sürer, E. (2024). Developing a framework for heterotopias as discursive playgrounds: a comparative analysis of non-immersive and immersive technologies. *Virtual Reality*, 28(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-023-00905-w>
- [133] Laachach, A., Mumtaz, S., & ANDALOUSSI, B. Z. (2024). Unveiling opportunities and challenges of the metaverse in the tourism and the hospitality sector: A qualitative investigation. *Tourism and Hospitality Management*, 30(4). <https://doi.org/10.20867/thm.30.4.1>

- [134] Lee, H., Jung, T., Dieck, M. C. tom, & Chung, N. (2019). Experiencing immersive virtual reality in museums. *Information & Management*, 57(5), 103229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2019.103229>
- [135] Li, J., Wider, W., Ochiai, Y., & Fauzi, M. A. (2023). A bibliometric analysis of immersive technology in museum exhibitions: exploring user experience. *Frontiers in Virtual Reality*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frvir.2023.1240562>
- [136] Lilia, M. (2024). Tangible and Intangible Heritage in the Age of Globalisation. In *OAPEN (The OAPEN Foundation)*. <https://doi.org/10.11647/obp.0388>
- [137] Lin, C., Xia, G., Nickpour, F., & Chen, Y. (2025). A review of emotional design in extended reality for the preservation of culture heritage. *Npj Heritage Science*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s40494-025-01625-x>
- [138] Lu, J., Zhao, Y., Zheng, Y., Chu, C., Zeng, T., & Li, T. (2025). P-4.13: Bridging the Past and Future: The Integration of AR, VR, and the Metaverse in Museums. *SID Symposium Digest of Technical Papers*, 56, 968–972. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sdtp.18975>
- [139] Lu, S., Moyle, B., Reid, S., Yang, E. C. L., & Liu, B. (2023). Technology and museum visitor experiences: a four stage model of evolution. *Information Technology & Tourism*, 25(2), 151–174. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40558-023-00252-1>
- [140] Luca, V. D., Barba, M., D’Errico, G., Nuzzo, B. L., & Paolis, L. T. D. (2023). A user experience analysis for a mobile Mixed Reality application for cultural heritage. *Virtual Reality*, 27(4), 2821–2837. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-023-00840-w>
- [141] Ma, C., Somrak, T., Manajit, S., & Gao, C. (2024). Exploring the Potential Synergy Between Disruptive Technology and Historical/Cultural Heritage in Thailand’s Tourism Industry for Achieving Sustainable Development in the Future. *International Journal of Tourism Research*, 26(5). <https://doi.org/10.1002/jtr.2759>
- [142] Marković, M., Walker, K., & Kužnin, M. (2025). Transforming Dubrovnik’s Legacy: Extended Reality and the Tourist Experience (pp. 49–67). <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-83662-342-720251007>
- [143] Mavrin, I., Mesić, H., & Banović, A. P. (2023). Gamification and Immersive Experiences in Museums as Audience Development Strategy. *Collegium Antropologicum*, 47(4), 287–296. <https://doi.org/10.5671/ca.47.4.5>
- [144] Medrano, S. N., Soler-Domínguez, J. L., & Pons, P. (2024). Mixed Reality for a collective and adaptive mental health metaverse. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 14, 1272783. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2023.1272783>
- [145] Mercimek, N., & Bülbül, A. (2025). Virtual Reality for Cultural Heritage: A Systematic Review and the Proposal of the AMUX-VR Framework. *AYBU AVESIS*, 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2025.2562962>
- [146] Michel, P. (2023). Methodology and Meaning of the 3D Modelling of the Lost Baalshamin Temple in Palmyra. ~The œinternational Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences/International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, 1067–1072. <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-xxviii-m-2-2023-1067-2023>
- [147] Miranda, J. C. (2024). Immersive technologies: new ways to visualise a possible future world. In *Edward Elgar Publishing eBooks* (pp. 517–530). Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781802208870.00044>
- [148] Mohamed, a. (2024). Integrating Digital Techniques/ Technologies in Developing Egyptian Museums (Case Study: Alexandria Library Museums - Alexandria City). *Sohag Engineering Journal/Sohag Engineering Journal*, 0(0), 0. <https://doi.org/10.21608/sej.2024.257467.1049>
- [149] Mohammed-Amin, R. K., Economou, M., Katifori, A., Rasool, K. K., Raouf, T. L., Ibrahim, N. H., Radha, R. A., & Ali, K. O. (2025). Nobody’s Listening: Evaluating the Impact of Immersive VR for Engaging with Difficult Heritage and Human Rights. *Heritage*, 8(11), 474. <https://doi.org/10.3390/heritage8110474>
- [150] Noaime, E. (2023). Historic Syrian Cities in the Post-War Period: Balancing Cul-tural Preservation and Tourism Investment. In *Preprints.org*. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202303.0457.v1>

- [151] Noaime, E., & Alnaim, M. M. (2025). Heritage and Resilience: Sustainable Recovery of Historic Syrian Cities. *Buildings*, 15(14), 2403. <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings15142403>
- [152] Oladokun, B. D., Ajani, Y. A., Ukaegbu, B. C. N., & Oloniruha, E. A. (2024). Cultural Preservation Through Immersive Technology: The Metaverse as a Pathway to the Past. *Preservation Digital Technology & Culture*, 53(3), 157–164. <https://doi.org/10.1515/pdte-2024-0015>
- [153] Omran, W., Ramos, R. F., & Casais, B. (2023). Virtual reality and augmented reality applications and their effect on tourist engagement: a hybrid review. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology*, 15(4), 497–518. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jhtt-11-2022-0299>
- [154] Öztürk, M. (2023). The Use of Immersive Technologies as a Representation Tool in the Protection of Archaeological Heritage. *Kocaeli Üniversitesi Mimarlık ve Yaşam Dergisi*. <https://doi.org/10.26835/my.1352984>
- [155] Pang, Q., & Cao, X. (2026). The application of modern digital immersive experience in art: analysis of the emotional experience feedback of visitors in different cultural contexts. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-026-07747-2>
- [156] Papadopoulou, A., Mystakidis, S., & Tsinakos, A. (2024). Immersive Storytelling in Social Virtual Reality for Human-Centered Learning about Sensitive Historical Events. *Information*, 15(5), 244. <https://doi.org/10.3390/info15050244>
- [157] Paulauskas, L., Paulauskas, A., Blažauskas, T., Damaševičius, R., & Maskeliūnas, R. (2023). Reconstruction of Industrial and Historical Heritage for Cultural Enrichment Using Virtual and Augmented Reality. *Technologies*, 11(2), 36. <https://doi.org/10.3390/technologies11020036>
- [158] Pérez, G. A. J., Gamboa, A. J. P., & Cano, C. A. G. (2024). Virtual reality and virtual worlds: a favorable setting for the preservation of cultural heritage. *Metaverse Basic and Applied Research*, 3. <https://doi.org/10.56294/mr2024.110>
- [159] Pervolarakis, Z., Zidianakis, E., Katzourakis, A., Evdaimon, T., Partarakis, N., Zabulis, X., & Stephanidis, C. (2023). Visiting Heritage Sites in AR and VR. *Heritage*, 6(3), 2489–2502. <https://doi.org/10.3390/heritage6030131>
- [160] Pinto, I., & Huertas, A. (2025). A comparative study of VR and AR heritage applications on visitor emotional experiences: a case study from a peripheral Spanish destination. *Virtual Reality*, 29(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-025-01109-0>
- [161] Pisani, S., Miller, A., & Hall, M. A. (2023). Digital modes of interpretation of Pictish sculpture. *Education and Information Technologies*, 29(8), 10009–10042. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-12151-3>
- [162] Pratisto, E. H., Thompson, N., & Potdar, V. (2022). Immersive technologies for tourism: a systematic review. *Information Technology & Tourism*, 24(2), 181–219. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40558-022-00228-7>
- [163] Privitera, A. G., Fontana, F., & Geronazzo, M. (2024). The Role of Audio in Immersive Storytelling: a Systematic Review in Cultural Heritage. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 84(16), 16105–16143. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-024-19288-4>
- [164] Proding, B., & Neuhofer, B. (2023). Never-Ending Tourism: Tourism Experience Scenarios for 2030. In *Springer proceedings in business and economics* (pp. 288–299). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-25752-0_31
- [165] Pupi, E., Spallone, R., Rinascimento, M., Gil-Piqueras, T., & Rodriguez-Navarro, P. (2026). A Multimodal XR Framework for Heritage Engagement and Analysis: The Case of Torre del Mar in Borriana (Castellón, Spain). *The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences/International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 399–406. <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-xxviii-2-w12-2026-399-2026>
- [166] Rameshwar, J. R., & King, G. S. (2023). Analysis of Caribbean XR Survey Creates an XR Development Strategy as a Path to the Regional Metaverse Evolution. *Journal of Metaverse*, 3(1), 43–65. <https://doi.org/10.57019/jmv.1179104>
- [167] Ravichandran, G., & Nam, S.-H. (2024). Immersive Cross-Cultural Journeys: Enhancing Museum Experiences Through Mixed Reality. *TEM Journal*, 1242–1247. <https://doi.org/10.18421/tem132-37>

- [168] Rihani, N. (2023). Interactive immersive experience: Digital technologies for reconstruction and experiencing temple of Bel using crowdsourced images and 3D photogrammetric processes. *International Journal of Architectural Computing*, 21(4), 730–756. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14780771231168224>
- [169] Rodríguez-Garcia, B., Guillen-Sanz, H., Checa, D., & Bustillo, A. (2024). A systematic review of virtual 3D reconstructions of Cultural Heritage in immersive Virtual Reality. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 83(42), 89743–89793. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-024-18700-3>
- [170] Ruíz, R. M., Allegue, P. S., Torres, M. T. M., Ríos, M. P., Cerón, J. M. C., & Escribano, D. (2024). Analysis of the user experience (On site vs. Virtual Reality) through biological markers and cognitive tests in museums: the case of Museo Cristo de la Sangre (Murcia, Spain). *Virtual Reality*, 28(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-023-00928-3>
- [171] Sabrine, I., Awad, Y., Ali, H., & Rollo, G. (2024). Preserving Palmyra's Heritage through a Community-Led Initiative: Giving Voice to Palmyra's People. *Journal of Eastern Mediterranean Archaeology & Heritage Studies*, 12(1), 79–92. <https://doi.org/10.5325/jeasmedarcherstu.12.1.0079>
- [172] Salvetti, F., & Bertagni, B. (2026). Artificial Intelligence and Extended Reality for Interactive Museum Experiences: Designing Participatory, Multisensory, and Adaptive Spaces for Knowledge Sharing. *International Journal of Advanced Corporate Learning (IJAC)*, 19(1). <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijac.v19i1.58757>
- [173] Semwal, R., Tripathi, N., Singh, A., & Saxena, S. (2024). Reviving Narratives. In *Advances in hospitality, tourism and the services industry (AHTSI) book series* (pp. 269–284). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-4042-4.ch018>
- [174] Shafaki, R. E., Mesbah, N., Maxim, C., & Morrison, A. M. (2024). Sustainable urban tourism in MENA countries. In *Greenwich Academic Literature Archive (University of Greenwich)* (pp. 496–513). University of Greenwich. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781803926742.00047>
- [175] Shcherbina, E., & Salmo, A. (2023). Exploring Impact of Historical and Cultural Heritage on the Sustainability of Urban and Rural Settlements. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 457, 3001. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202345703001>
- [176] Shimoda, I., Im, S., Oishi, T., Nakamura, R., Kajiwar, L., & Chen, W. (2025). Safeguarding Banteay Chhmar through Digital Twins: Integrated Approaches for Research, Management, and Conservation. *The international Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences/International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 1357–1364. <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-xxviii-m-9-2025-1357-2025>
- [177] Sicklinger, A., Mehmeti, L., Bregasi, L., & Krasniqi, F. (2023). Community heritage: an immersive approach to disaster resilience. In *Academia Press eBooks*. Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.26530/9789401496476-128>
- [178] Siddiqui, M. S., Syed, T. A., Nadeem, A., Nawaz, W., & Alkhodre, A. B. (2022). Virtual Tourism and Digital Heritage: An Analysis of VR/AR Technologies and Applications. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 13(7). <https://doi.org/10.14569/ijacsa.2022.0130739>
- [179] Singh, P., Pahuja, N., Kansal, M., Gurung, S., Shukla, U., & Gupta, S. (2024). Enhancing Tourism Experiences and Preserving Cultural Heritage with AR and VR. 225–231. <https://doi.org/10.1109/icdt61202.2024.10489490>
- [180] Smets, W., & Euser, V. (2026). Systematic Instructional Design Using Immersive Learning Experiences in Museums: A Multiple Case Analysis. *Technology Knowledge and Learning*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-025-09945-4>
- [181] Spallone, R., Lamberti, F., Vigo, L., Ronco, F., Calandra, D., & Ferraro, M. (2024). 3D Modelling and Virtual Reality for Museum Heritage Presentation: Contextualisation of Sculpture From the Tang Era. *The international Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences/International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 413–420. <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-xxviii-2-w4-2024-413-2024>

- [182] Srdanović, P., Skala, T., & Maričević, M. (2025). Neurocognitive Foundations of Memory Retention in AR and VR Cultural Heritage Experiences. *Electronics*, 14(15), 2920. <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics14152920>
- [183] Tawil, A. A., Hashim, S. H. M., Kamal, I. W., Edinat, A., Awawdeh, S., & Elbarbary, A. N. (2026a). A machine learning-enhanced virtual museum for preserving Jordanian cultural heritage: Predicting user satisfaction and engagement profiling. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 10(3), 1247–1254. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2026.4.006>
- [184] Tawil, A. A., Hashim, S. H. M., Kamal, I. W., Edinat, A., Awawdeh, S., & Elbarbary, A. N. (2026b). A machine learning-enhanced virtual museum for preserving Jordanian cultural heritage: Predicting user satisfaction and engagement profiling. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 10(3), 1247–1254. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2026.21>
- [185] The Application of Virtual Reality (VR), Augmented Reality (AR), and Mixed Reality (MR) Technologies in the Syrian Tourism Sector: A Framework for Cultural Heritage Preservation, Visitor Engagement, and Sustainable Tourism Development. (n.d.).
- [186] Trunfio, M., Jung, T., & Campana, S. (2022). Mixed reality experiences in museums: Exploring the impact of functional elements of the devices on visitors' immersive experiences and post-experience behaviours. *Information & Management*, 59(8), 103698. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2022.103698>
- [187] Upasani, N., Manna, A., & Ranjanikar, M. (2023). Augmented, Virtual and Mixed Reality Research in Cultural Heritage: A Bibliometric Study. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.14569/ijacsa.2023.0140191>
- [188] Varol, F., & Öksüz, M. (2024). Use of advanced measurement and reality technologies in cultural heritage sites from the perspective of technology and tourism. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 28(4), 585–603. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2024.2322693>
- [189] Vashisht, S., Sharma, B., & Aluvala, S. (2024). Enhancing Tourism Experiences Through Immersive Technologies: The Role of Virtual and Augmented Reality. 1479–1483.
- [190] Wang, H., Du, J., Li, Y., Zhang, L., & Li, X. (2024). Grand Challenges in Immersive Technologies for Cultural Heritage. In *arXiv* (Cornell University). Cornell University. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2412.02853>
- [191] Wang, Y. (2025). Digital Platform Design for Cultural Heritage Tourism Sites: A Case Study of Tianyi Pavilion in Ningbo. *Open Journal of Business and Management*, 14(1), 18–31. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojbm.2026.141002>
- [192] Wang, Y., & Ametefe, D. S. (2025). Immersive Technologies in Digital Museums: A Systematic Review of Virtual and Augmented Reality for Heritage Reconstruction and Visitor Experience. *PRESENCE Virtual and Augmented Reality*, 35, 71–93. <https://doi.org/10.1162/pres.a.413>
- [193] Wanous, R. (2025). Comparative Analysis of the Management of Transport and Economic Systems of Tourist and Recreational Complexes in Syria and Iraq. *Ekonomika I Upravljenje Problemy Resheniya*, 154, 46–55. <https://doi.org/10.36871/ek.up.p.r.2025.01.09.006>
- [194] Wen, W., Wang, X., & Ye, Z. (2025). Protection and immersive experience of cultural heritage in the digital age: Technological empowerment, spatial reproduction, and future risks. *Arts & Communication*, 3(4), 25040005. <https://doi.org/10.36922/ac025040005>
- [195] Xie, X., Wang, C., Dai, T., & Chen, Y. (2026). An innovative model of digital pedagogy: digital experience scenes design for cultural heritage properties based on multi-form spatial theory. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-026-06768-1>
- [196] Xu, Z., Liu, F., Xia, G., Wang, S., Duan, Y., Yu, L., Zhao, S., & Li, M. (2025). Immersive HCI for Intangible Cultural Heritage in Tourism Contexts: A Narrative Review of Design and Evaluation. *Sustainability*, 18(1), 153. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su18010153>
- [197] Yin, B., Jiang, Y., & Chen, J. (2023). Realizing consumers' existential dreams via product marketing and mixed reality: a perspective based on affective neuroscience theories. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 17, 1256194. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2023.1256194>

[198] Yoo, E., & Yu, J. (2024). Evaluating the Impact of Presentation on Learning and Narrative in AR of Cultural Heritage. *IEEE Access*, 12, 25876–25887.

<https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2024.3365696>

[199] Yu, T., Huang, M. L., Liu, Y. Z., Wu, H.-Y., Wang, B., Tsai, H.-C., & Chien, S. (2025). A Systematic Review of Integrating Mixed Reality and Artificial Intelligence in Museums: Enhancing Visitor Experiences and Innovating Exhibit Design. *Proceedings of the ... Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences/Proceedings of the Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.24251/hicss.2025.185>

[200] Yücebaş, Z. F., & TOY, E. (2025). A comparative analysis of augmented reality supported virtual guides in cultural heritage tourism. *DergiPark* (Istanbul University). <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15510369>

[201] Zain, M. M., Baniyamin, N., Ibrahim, I., Rashid, Md. M., Zakaria, K., & Mustafah, Y. M. (2024). Designing immersive narratives of Jugra heritage site for cultural edutainment using mixed reality. *Journal Of ICT In Education*, 11(1), 81–95. <https://doi.org/10.37134/jictie.vol11.1.6.2024>

[202] Zaus, J. (2025). Interpreting for All Minds: Synthesising Practices for Cognitively Inclusive Heritage Interpretation. *Matkailututkimus*, 21(2), 47–53. <https://doi.org/10.33351/mt.163308>

[203] Zeido, Z. (2023). Attempting to document and rehabilitate Aleppo between 1994 and 2011: the ramifications of pre-conflict-built heritage mismanagement and the effects of the scarcity of documentation on options available for post-conflict conservation. *Built Heritage*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43238-023-00084-2>

[204] Zhang, D., & Ch'ng, E. (2026). Guest editorial: Special issue on digitalization and stakeholder engagement in Asian cultural heritage. *Journal of Cultural Heritage Management and Sustainable Development*, 16(2), 269–276. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jchmsd-03-2026-299>

[205] Zhang, J., Wang, G., Chen, H., Huang, H., Shi, Y., & Wang, Q. (2025). Internet of Things and Extended Reality in Cultural Heritage: A Review on Reconstruction and Restoration, Intelligent Guided Tour, and Immersive Experiences. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 12(12), 19018–19042. <https://doi.org/10.1109/jiot.2025.3553237>

[206] Zhu, Y., & He, X. (2025). Factors influencing user acceptance of virtual reality in preserving Wuhu iron painting from the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology perspective. *Scientific Reports*, 15(1), 22714. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-08310-w>

[207] Župčan, L. (2023). Application of Modern Trends in Museums. In *Directory of Open access Books* (OAPEN Foundation). <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.104681>

Disclaimer / Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of Journals and/or the editor(s). Journals and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.